

## Appendix G

### SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

Following are comments received on the draft Transportation 2040 and the Coordinated Plans. These comments and suggestions were received after noon March 10, 2010, so were not reviewed by the Transportation Policy Board on March 11, 2010. These comments were submitted to the Executive Board for review at its March 25, 2010, meeting.

For the entire summary of Transportation 2040 comments please <http://www.psrc.org/transportation/t2040>

In addition to comments on the Plans, numerous comments were received on the Transportation 2040 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Comments and PSRC's responses are available at <http://www.psrc.org/transportation/t2040> or by contacting the PSRC Information Center <http://www.psrc.org/about/infocenter>

#	Name & Date	Comment Category	Summary of Comment	Staff Recommendation
293	3/11/2010 Michelle Zeidman	Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan	Numerous technical edits and clarifications.	<b>Make technical edits.</b> Staff recommends making these minor edits in response to the comments.
297	3/16/2010 Hopelink	Transportation 2040 and Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Appendix K)	Expressed: Support for integration of Transportation 2040 and the Coordinated Plan, and how special needs populations are fully represented. Support of T2040 identification of special needs transportation funding proportional to the growth of these populations. Support for various strategic investments that provide mobility options to persons with special needs. Concern over impacts of tolling on those with special transportation needs. Recognition of the need for concrete measures and benchmarks on impacts of tolling and the	<b>No changes.</b> The draft Plan places a strong emphasis on equity and special needs, and two VISION 2040 multicounty planning policies address special needs populations. Tolling will not be introduced without full public discussion throughout the region. Guidance for use of tolling revenues includes allowing revenues to be used to support transit improvements. PSRC has yet to design the process whereby we will develop the prioritization process outlined in the draft Plan. We have the ability to ensure it's done with special needs populations' concerns in mind. The plan proposes this be

			<p>implementation of providing greater options and mobility.</p> <p>Appreciation for detailed mapping in the Coordinated Plan, but asked that percentages be replaced by whole numbers and that maps be in full page format.</p>	<p>completed within the next two years.</p> <p>The Congestion Management Process/SMART Corridors documents baseline conditions that include transit service investments and special needs populations, among several other factors; these will be monitored on a regular basis.</p> <p>As part of the draft Coordinated Plan preparation, the Special Needs Transportation Committee agreed that due to the higher margin of error using American Community Survey (ACS) data that percentages would be used instead of whole numbers. For the next update to the Plan, 2010 Census data will be used. Plan maps are provided in full format in the Plan's appendices. Map files can be provided upon request.</p>
298	3/11/2010 Penni Belcher United Way of Pierce County	Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan(Appendix K)	Clarifying comments and addenda on for Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan.	<b>Make changes.</b> Staff recommends making these changes.
314	3/16/2010 Marge Tully Special Needs Transportation Committee and Pierce County Coordinated Transportation Coalition	Special Needs Transportation	Comments acknowledge PSRC's efforts raising awareness of special needs transportation in the draft Plan, but requests more detail and clarification on what special needs transportation is and who uses these services; the current factors that affect coordinated transportation; and the major role that the county level coordinated coalitions play in the planning process.	<b>Make changes.</b> Staff recommends refining the <i>Mobility for those with Special Needs</i> section of the Draft Plan based on these comments.
316	3/19/2010 King County Metro	Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan	Commends PSRC for integrating the Coordinated Plan into Transportation 2040, highlighting mobility concerns for those with special transportation needs and establishing policy, funding, and implementation framework in both Plans. Appreciates the detailed mapping in the	<b>No Change.</b> SMART Corridors: SMART Corridor titles are still under review. Both of these recommendations will be given consideration while the CMP/SMART Corridor report is finalized, which is expected in fall 2010.

			<p>Coordinated Plan, but asks that percentages be replaced by whole numbers and that maps be in full page format.</p> <p>Finds the SMART Corridor analysis in the Coordinated Plan useful but recommends changes to the names of some of the King County corridors for clarity.</p> <p>Provides minor edits on clarifying definitions in the Executive Summary.</p> <p>Provides detailed comments on the Coordinated Plan (Appendix K) and 2010 Action Strategy (Appendix A). These include indentifying the needs of those with Limited English Proficiency (LEP); and the need to emphasize access to travel options and information, the role of technology for those with special transportation needs; and calling out employment training facilities as major destinations.</p>	<p>Special Needs Maps: As part of the draft Coordinated Plan preparation, the Special Needs Transportation Committee agreed that due to the higher margin of error using American Community Survey (ACS) data that percentages would be used instead of whole numbers. King County is represented on the committee. For the next update to the Plan, 2010 Census data will be used and percentages and whole numbers will be available. Plan maps are provided in large format in the Plan's appendices. Map files can also be provided upon request.</p> <p><b>Make changes.</b> Executive Summary: PSRC will make these changes. Job Access Review Commute Program and Appendix A: PSRC will work with King County Metro on appropriate edits to the Coordinated Plan.</p>
320	3/19/2010 King County Mobility Coalition	Transportation 2040 and Coordinated Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Appendix K)	<p>Expresses support for Transportation 2040's funding strategy; requests that minimizing potential negative impacts on special needs populations be taken into consideration when tolling is implemented. Recognizes the need for concrete measures and benchmarks for greater options and better mobility that include expanded transit access in terms of coverage, frequency, and key destinations for special needs populations. States the importance of the Coordinated Plan itself and requests that the Coalition be involved in the next Plan update. Appreciates the detailed mapping in the Coordinated Plan, but asked that percentages be replaced by whole numbers and that maps be in full page format. Finds the SMART Corridor analysis in the Coordinated Plan useful but recommends changes to the names of some of the King County corridors for clarity.</p>	<p><b>No Change.</b> The draft Transportation 2040 Plan places a strong emphasis on equity and special needs. Financial Strategy: Tolling will not be introduced without full public discussion throughout the region.</p> <p>Monitoring and Performance Measures: PSRC has yet to design the process whereby we will develop the prioritization process outlined in the Draft Plan. We have the ability to ensure it's done with special needs populations' concerns in mind. The plan proposes this be completed within the next two years. Transportation 2040 Congestion Management Process/SMART Corridors documents baseline conditions; these will be monitored on a regular basis.</p> <p>Coordinated Plan: PSRC will follow its adopted Public Involvement Plan for the update to the Coordinated Plan. Representative stakeholders, such as local coordinating coalitions, will be</p>

			<p>Provides minor edits on clarifying definitions in the glossary.</p>	<p>asked to participate, as they were this time.</p> <p>Special Needs Maps: As part of the draft Coordinated Plan preparation, the Special Needs Transportation Committee agreed that due to the higher margin of error using American Community Survey (ACS) data that percentages would be used instead of whole numbers. King County is represented on the committee. For the next update to the Plan, 2010 Census data will be used and percentages and whole numbers will be available. Plan maps are provided in large format in the Plan's appendices. Map files can also be provided upon request.</p> <p>SMART Corridors: SMART Corridor titles are still under review. These recommendations will be given consideration while the CMP/SMART Corridor report is finalized, which is expected in fall 2010.</p>
--	--	--	--	--