

Freight Advisory Committee Agenda

Date: Wednesday, October 9, 2024, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

Online Meeting Only – See Zoom Remote Participation Instructions Below

- 1. Welcome and Introductions (9:30) Doug Cox, PSRC
- 2. Action: Approval of Meeting Summary for August 14, 2024* (9:35)
- 3. Discussion: Initial 2023 Household Travel Survey findings (9:40) Brian Lee, Joanne Lin & Suzanne Childress, PSRC PSRC staff will share initial findings from the 2023 Household Travel Survey with an emphasis on delivery trends.
- **4. Discussion:** RTP Freight Work Program* (10:10) *Doug Cox, PSRC*PSRC staff will share the results of the survey on committee focus priorities for development of the 2026 RTP and lead a discussion on developing a committee work plan for advancing these topics through 2025.
- 5. Discussion: Other Business and Announcements (10:45)
- 6. Next Meeting: December 11, 2024
- 7. Adjourn (11:00)

Other Formats:

• Sign language, and communication material in alternative formats, can be arranged given sufficient notice by calling 206-464-7090, TTY Relay 711
• ألعربية | Arabic, 中文 | Chinese, Deutsch | German, Français | French, 한국어 | Korean, Русский | Russian, Español | Spanish, Tagalog, Tiếng việt | Vietnamese, visit: https://www.psrc.org/contact-center/language-assistance.

Zoom Remote Participation Instructions:

To join audio/video conference:

https://psrc-org.zoom.us/j/87696905823?pwd=bHFiZVAwT2ltYk5Jb29xcGhDb25QQT09

^{*}Supporting materials attached

To join via cellphone (1-touch dial):

8335480282,,87696905823#,,,,*043570# US Toll-free 8778535257,,87696905823#,,,,*043570# US Toll-free

To join via phone:

833 548 0282 US Toll-free 877 853 5257 US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 876 9690 5823

Passcode: 043570

Please adhere to a few virtual meeting rules:

- Please keep your mic muted when not speaking
- Use *6 to mute/unmute phone
- When watching the meeting via computer and using phone audio, mute your computer's speakers and mic.



Freight Advisory Committee Meeting Summary

Date: August 14, 2024, 9:30a.m. - 11:00a.m.

Location: Remote - Online Meeting Only (Zoom Meeting)

Welcome and Introductions

Geri Poor, Chair, welcomed everyone at 9:30 a.m. Roll call was completed to confirm the members and alternates present.

Action: Approval of Meeting Summary

The June 12, 2024 meeting summary was approved as presented.

Action: FAC Operating Procedures and Membership

Doug Cox, PSRC, presented a proposal for an update to the FAC Committee operating procedures and membership structure that reflected the committee's discussions at the prior two meetings. The primary goals of the update are (1) to reduce the overall membership to reduce seats that are vacant / have low participation while maintaining balanced representation and (2) to revise the representation from the outdated industrial subareas to the current Manufacturing/Industrial Centers (MICs).

Under the proposal, regionally-designated Manufactural Industrial Centers (MICs) and the two freight intensive areas identified by the committee would each have one voting member and one alternate, with each seat being represented by the jurisdiction in which the MIC / freight-intensive area is located. A jurisdiction with multiple MICs would be able to appoint a member from an adjacent/interested agency to represent the additional MIC(s). MICs/freight-intensive areas with multiple jurisdictional interests would be encouraged to coordinate to designate the member and alternate.

In addition to the changes to the membership structure, the proposal also shifts away from having a Chair/Vice Chair. FAC meetings would be facilitated by PSRC staff instead.

Concerns were raised about how multiple MICs would be represented by one member, and the logistics of one MIC having multiple stakeholders. With clarifications from PSRC staff, the proposal was adopted without amendments.

For more information, contact Doug Cox at DCox@psrc.org.

The presentation is provided on PSRC's website <u>here</u>.

Discussion: Truck Parking Update

Jason Beloso, WSDOT, shared an update on WSDOT's initiatives to expand and improve truck parking options on the I-5 and I-90 corridors. WSDOT was directed to explore state facility expansion opportunities and truck parking incentive programs, with reports and a truck parking implementation plan due to the legislature by December 1, 2024.

WSDOT is looking at property acquisition for parking expansion at safety rest areas and waystations, while acknowledging the need to also preserve existing facilities. WSDOT's Innovative Partnerships Office is also conducting outreach with jurisdictions like King County and North Bend to coordinate on land acquisition and rezoning land for industrial use. The Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board (FMSIB) will produce the truck parking implementation plan in consultation with WSDOT.

WSDOT is currently scoping expansions to the I-5 Fort Lewis Weigh Station to increase truck parking and restroom facilities. WSDOT is also developing an I-5 Truck Parking Information Management System (TPIMS) in coordination with Oregon and California DOTs.

For more information, contact Jason Beloso at <u>Jason.Beloso@wsdot.wa.gov</u>

The presentation is provided on PSRC's website here

Discussion: Update on Regional Safety Plan

Gary Simonson, PSRC, provided an update on the Regional Safety Action Plan development, including an overview of the initial results of the data analysis and the state of the practice review. Gary also briefed the committee on the next steps for this work, including PSRC's plans for outreach and engagement.

For more information, contact Gary Simonson at GSimonson@psrc.org.

The presentation is provided on PSRC's website here

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at approximately 11:00 a.m.

*Members and Alternates Present

See attached attendance roster for the member or alternate representing each agency/jurisdiction at the meeting; additional alternate(s) present are listed below.

Interested Parties and PSRC Staff Present

Adam Leslie, City of Tacoma; Grace Carlson; Jeff DeVere; Scott McCollister, WSDOT; Wenjuan Zhao, WSDOT

<u>PSRC</u>

Gary Simonson, Gil Cerise, Alexa Leach, Doug Cox *All attendees were present via remote participation

Freight Advisory Committee Attendance Roster

Date: August 14, 2024 9:30am - 11:00am Remote/Online Only

NOTE: Members are invited to sit at the table and vote. Alternates may sit at the table and vote when the member is absent. Please coordinate representation in advance of the meeting.

Jurisdictions	_	Name	Jurisdiction	✓	Name
Counties	<u> </u>	isanic .	Industrial Sub Areas		
King County (1)	IX	John Vander Sluis	Arlington - Marysville (1)	×	Jim Kelly, Arlington
ting County (1)			Armigion - Marysville (1)		
(italia Carrier (1)		Vacant (Alt.)	Durant Con Field (4)	-	Ryan Morrison, Arlington(Alt.)
Kitsap County (1)	X	David Forte	Dupont - Gray Field (1)		VACANT
2'	1	Melissa Mohr (Alt.)	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		Vacant (Alt.)
Pierce County (1)		Clint Ritter	Frederickson - Lakewood (1)	-	VACANT
	X	Peter Lewis-Miller (Alt.)			Vacant (Alt.)
Snohomish County (1)		Joseph Bervell	Interbay - Ship Canal (1)	X	Chris Eaves, Seattle
		Jay Larson (Alt.)			Joanna Valencia, Seattle (Alt.)
Ports		T.	North-Central Everett (1)	IXI	Jesse Hannahs, Marysville
Port of Bremerton (1)		Arne Bakker			Vacant (Alt.)
		James Goodman (Alt.)	PSIC - Bremerton - Sinclair Inlet (1)	X	Chris Dimmitt, Bremerton
Port of Everett (1)		Adam LeMieux		X	Vicki Grover, Bremerton (Alt.)
	X	Laura Gurley (Alt.)	SeaTac - Des Moines (1)		Florendo Cabudol, SeaTac
Port of Seattle (1)	X	Geri Poor, Chair			Zack Shields, SeaTac (Alt.)
		Kyra Lise (Alt.)	Auburn - Sumner (2)	X	Jacob Sweeting, Auburn
Port of Tacoma (1)	X	Ryan Medlen			Michael Kosa, Sumner
		Deirdre Wilson (Alt.)		X	Ryan Windish, Sumner (Alt.)
WSDOT (1)					Vacant (Alt.)
	X	Jason Beloso	Duwamish - North Tukwila (2)		VACANT
		Wenjuan Zhao (Alt.)			VACANT
Freight Mobility Strategic Inves	tmen	t Board (FMSIB) (1)	\neg		Vacant (Alt.)
		VACANT			Vacant (Alt.)
		Vacant (Alt.)	I-405 Corridor (2)		VACANT
Tribal Agencies					VACANT
Muckleshoot Indian Tribe (1)		VACANT			Vacant (Alt.)
,		Vacant (Alt.)			Vacant (Alt.)
Puyallup Tribe (1)		VACANT	Kent - Renton (2)	×	David Paine, Kent
(2)		Vacant (Alt.)	(2)	-	VACANT
Suquamish Tribe (1)		VACANT			Rob Brown, Kent (Alt.)
suquamism mise (1)		Vacant (Alt.)			Vacant (Alt.)
Fulalip Tribe (1)	+	VACANT	South Everett (2)		VACANT
ו מומווף וווטכ (ב)	\vdash	Vacant (Alt.)	Journ Everett (2)		VACANT
		vacant (Ant.)			Tom Hood, Everett (Alt.)
			Tacoma Duvalles (2)		Vacant (Alt.)
			Tacoma - Puyallup (2)		Brennan Kidd, Tacoma
				100	Hans Hunger, Puyallup
					Greg Vigoren, Fife (Alt.)
			21 24 25 45		Jeremy Metzler, Edgewood (Alt.)
			Non-Voting (5)		In the plant of the second
					Denise Plumb, United Parcel
					Service (UPS)
					Eric Jessup, WSU, Freight Policy
					Transportation Institute
					Jared Faker, Intl. Longshore and
rev 3/2024					



DISCUSSION ITEM October 2, 2024

TO: Freight Advisory Committee (FAC)

FROM: Doug Cox, Principal Planner

SUBJECT: Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Priorities Survey Results

The committee brainstormed potential freight priority topics to be considered for inclusion in the 2026 RTP at the April and June 2024 FAC meetings. Following the June 2024 meeting, PSRC developed a short survey that was distributed to FAC members and interested parties to solicit input on the relative priority of the nine (9) topics identified by the committee. The survey also asked respondents to indicate their level of experience with each of the topics.

DISCUSSION

The results of the survey indicated that *Identifying corridors of unique freight importance* and *Maintenance & preservation* are the two highest priorities for the committee. These two topics also have the highest levels of collective experience.

The second tier of priorities are *Safety analysis* and *Freight movement from a supply chain perspective*. These two topics also have the second-highest levels of collective experience.

A synopsis of the survey results is attached.

Next Steps

The committee will discuss the results of the survey and develop a work plan for advancing these priorities in support of the development of the 2026 RTP at the October 9th meeting.

For more information, contact Doug Cox, Principal Planner, at dcox@psrc.org or (206) 971-3050.

ATTACHMENT 4A - FAC RTP priorities survey results

experience / insight level priority level

Lot/Some	No, but	Low Priority	Topic (ranked in order of collective experience level)	Very high	Somewhat high	Medium	Low
8	5	0	Maintenance & preservation	9	3	1	0
8	4	1	corridors of unique freight importance #	9	1	3	0
7	5	1	Freight movement from a supply chain perspective	4	2	7	0
7	4	2	Safety analysis	2	6	3	2
6	4	3	Truck parking	2	5	3	3
4	7	2	Freight trends analysis	2	9	1	1
3	5	5	Port electrification	1	2	4	6
3	5	5	Truck electrification	1	2	3	7
2	7	4	incorporating employment into equity policies	0	2	6	5

		Additional topics identified				identified by:
1		ensuring compatible land use/development	1			Adam Lemieux
1		intermodalism + urban goods delivery		1		Geri Poor
		Smart Signals / travel time improvements				Adam Leslie
1		regional cooridors coordinated w/ state plans	1			Ryan Medlen
		Freight route guidance / GPS				City of Auburn
_		# expand "unique freight importance" to include bottlenecks				David Forte

Other thoughts / suggestions

Freight mobility on our roads, rail, air, and navigable waterways doesn't follow jurisdictional boundaries. In our region, some communities outperform in development and activities that support the supply chain.	Deidre Wilson
Just as transit is reconsidering its 'new normal', post pandemic with a revisiting of emphasis on peak-period commute flows, is there a similar pattern on the freight/goods side that needs understanding more broadly? Do cost of goods	
movement in the region track with other transportation and housing related costs, track higher than trend, or lower. And does that reflect a systems approach to the transportation network. 1. How freight and goods metrics contribute to RTP	
project prioritization 2. How freight and goods metrics are considered in the PSRC project selection processes.	City of Kent

13 Responses

