



We are leaders in the region to realize equity for all. Diversity, racial equity and inclusion are integrated into how we carry out all our work.

psrc.org/equity

Review Topics



Two project tracking policy topics to be reviewed:

- Consideration of pre-bid cost estimate increases to potentially receive funds under PSRC's annual TIP rebalancing process
- Evaluate the current \$50K limit for administrative transfer of PSRC funds between phases

Process & Timeline

Timeline	RPEC Tasks
September meeting	Introduce topics Provide preliminary input
PSRC staff will coordinate with WSDOT and compile supporting data to be included in the October agenda packet	
October meeting	Full committee discussion both topics
December meeting	Final discussion and action on recommendations
January board meetings	RPEC recommendations forwarded to boards



PSRC Project Tracking Policies

Purpose: Ensure the timely and predictable use of all regionally managed federal funds, and assist the region to:

- Reduce project delays that lead to increased costs
- Ensure the traveling public benefits from investments at the earliest point possible
- Meet federal funding delivery expectations
- Preserve PSRC funds and ensure they are kept locally
- Position region for additional funding opportunities by demonstrating the ability to deliver projects.

Informed by RPEC recommendations ~ Adopted by the Board



PSRC Project Tracking Policies

The tracking policies lay out the rules and procedures implemented for the region to meet annual federal delivery requirements

 Annual rebalancing process – measures to distribute additional available funds to agencies fairly and transparently, as needed to meet FHWA delivery target Policy topics are here

 Late year and 'emergency' measures – based solely on a project's ability to immediately utilize available funds



Consideration of pre-bid cost estimate increases to potentially receive funds under PSRC's annual TIP rebalancing process

- Currently, only the phase cost provided at the time of award is considered in a project's capacity to receive increased federal share
- If cost estimate at the time of obligation is higher than initially estimated and programmed, policies do not allow that to be factored into a project's capacity to receive additional funds

Consideration of pre-bid cost estimate increases to potentially receive funds under PSRC's annual TIP rebalancing process

Primary Questions:

- How to ensure that cost estimates are consistently vetted for all projects at time of award and update?
- How to implement on a timeline that maintains the requirement increased federal share amounts be confirmed in time for the March TIP/STIP update?
- Other questions?



Evaluate the current \$50K limit for administrative transfer of PSRC funds between phases

- PSRC funds are <u>awarded by project phase</u>, not to the entire project
- PSRC funds unspent at the completion of the phase are de-obligated and returned, and then redistributed to the next eligible project
- PSRC policies allow administrative transfer of up to \$50,000 between phases of the same project, as long as all phases remain fully funded
- Any transfer greater than \$50,000 is subject to "exception" policies and requires board approval



Evaluate the current \$50K limit for administrative transfer of PSRC funds between phases

- The limit for administrative transfer based on an amount determined reasonable for new project funding
 - Based on policy that if a project is unable to utilize the funds for the requested purpose, unspent funds should go to the next eligible project on the list
 - But also acknowledges the benefit of some limited flexibility to accommodate minor change in conditions



Evaluate the current \$50K limit for administrative transfer of PSRC funds between phases

Primary Questions:

- Since this limit was set several years ago, is there a higher amount that should be considered while still maintaining the overall intent of the policy?
- Other questions?



Next Steps

- PSRC staff will compile and provide supporting information prior to October meeting
- Full committee discussion at October meeting with vote on any recommendations in December
- RPEC recommendations forwarded to boards in January meetings

