

## Appendix I-A: Preferred Growth Alternative Technical Packet

The tables in this appendix present the full set of technical inputs used to develop the year 2040 population and employment distributions in the Preferred Growth Alternative. The Preferred Growth Alternative was adopted by the Executive Board on March 22, 2007.

This appendix contains the following information and tables:

- Introduction. Guidance from the Growth Management Policy Board for the Preferred Growth Alternative.
- Definitions. Key terms used in developing the Preferred Growth Alternative.
- Regional Employment: Regional Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Regional Population: Regional Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Regional Population to Employment Ratio
- Regional Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- County Employment: County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- County Population: County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- County Population to Employment Ratio
- County Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- King Employment: King County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- King Population: King County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- King Population to Employment Ratio
- King Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- Kitsap Employment: Kitsap County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Kitsap Population: Kitsap County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Kitsap Population to Employment Ratio
- Kitsap Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- Pierce Employment: Pierce County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Pierce Population: Pierce County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Pierce Population to Employment Ratio
- Pierce Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- Snohomish Employment: Snohomish County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Snohomish Population: Snohomish County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative
- Snohomish Population to Employment Ratio
- Snohomish Population to Employment Ratio (including enlisted military)
- Appendix 1 - Attachment 1: Cities by County by Regional Geography


## INTRODUCTION

The regional growth strategy described in the Preferred Growth Alternative responds to the following guidance from the Regional Council's policy and Executive Board:

## SUSTAIN THE EXISTING VISION 2020 POLICY

- Focus growth in the urban growth area
- Within the urban growth area, concentrate growth in centers
- Protect rural and resource lands
- Minimize environmental impacts of growth
- Make efficient use of existing infrastructure and investments


## VISION 2040 PROPOSED POLICY REFINEMENTS

## Regional - Population and Employment:

- More effectively distribute growth to and within the urban growth area
- Minimize rural developments
- Achieve a closer balance between jobs and housing within the counties and regional geographies
- Distinguish between different roles of regional geographies
- Support growth in subregional centers

Population: More effectively distribute growth to and within urban areas, minimize rural development, focus more growth in cities with Regional Growth Centers and in King County.

- Emphasizes places with Regional Growth Centers as primary places for population growth
- Metropolitan Cities: increases future role relative to year 2000 share
- Core Cities: increases future role
- Larger Cities: increases future role, emphasizes growth in subregional centers
- Small Cities: increases future role, slightly less than planned share. emphasizes smaller subregional and town centers
- Unincorporated Urban Growth Area: increases future role, less than planned share. focuses on existing urbanized areas especially areas affiliated for annexation
- Rural Areas: decreases future role; minimizes population growth, commensurate with existing and desired rural character

Employment: Continue current policy for employment, emphasizing a concentrated regional pattern with focus on centers, pursue increased regional share of employment to Snohomish, Pierce and Kitsap counties.

- Emphasizes places with Regional Growth Centers as primary places for job growth
- Metropolitan Cities: continued strong job growth; less than planned share, but with larger roles for Everett, Tacoma and Bremerton
- Core Cities: increases future role
- Larger Cities: increases future role; emphasizes growth in subregional centers
- Small Cities: increases future role, slightly less than planned share; emphasizes smaller subregional and town centers and commercial \& retail districts to serve surrounding rural and unincorporated areas
- Unincorporated Urban Growth Area: focuses on existing urbanized commercial areas and future commercial \& retail to serve maturing residential communities; recognizes regional Manufacturing and Industrial Centers
- Rural Areas: maintains current role; emphasizes appropriate rural economic development, commensurate with existing and desired rural character


## DEFINITIONS

The following key terms are used in the technical development of the Preferred Growth Alternative:

- Small Area Forecasts: These numbers represent the Regional Council's most current modeled forecast of year 2040 population and employment for counties. Note: Small Area Forecast numbers are available for counties, but not for regional geographies. Source: PSRC's 2006 Forecasts of Population, Households and Employment.
- Employment Sector Trend: These numbers represent a set of year 2040 employment projections based on an area's current industrial sector make-up. The numbers were developed by taking 2000-2040 regional average growth rates for major industrial employment sectors and applying them to the 2000 industrial sector base for each county regional geography. In each county, the preliminary projections (by regional geography) were then proportionally adjusted to match the county's Small Area Employment Forecast.
- Employment Targets Trend: These numbers represent a set of year 2040 employment projections based on adopted or informal local employment targets. The numbers were developed using a straightline projection method based on average annual levels of employment growth planned under the targets for each county regional geography. In each county, the preliminary projections (by regional geography) were then proportionally adjusted to match the county's Small Area Employment Forecast.
- Population Targets Trend (unadjusted): These numbers represent a set of year 2040 population projections based on adopted local population targets. The numbers were developed using a straightline projection method based on average annual levels of population growth planned for under the targets for each county regional geography. These projections have not been adjusted to match the Small Area Population Forecast for each county.
- Population Targets Trend (adjusted): These numbers started with the Population Targets Trend projections. In each county, the preliminary projections (by regional geography) were then proportionally adjusted to match the county's Small Area Population Forecast.
- Working Pop Option 1: These numbers represent an early set of 2040 population distributions that was brought before the Growth Management Policy Board for consideration as they began work to develop the Preferred Growth Alternative. The numbers at the regional level were developed using the following policy assumptions: (a) increase the population share (relative to the year 2000) of cities with designated
regional growth centers, (b) decrease the population share (relative to the year 2000) of rural areas. The regional numbers were then allocated to county regional geographies based on the distribution of 2025 population as planned for under adopted local targets. Note: These projections utilized an earlier set of population targets for Kitsap and Snohomish counties than those used in the Population Targets Trend (unadjusted) and Population Targets Trend (adjusted) projections.
- Working Pop Option 2: These numbers started with the regional 2040 population distribution in Working Population Option 1. The allocation to Metropolitan Cities and Core Cities was slightly revised, with more population shifted to Core Cities. The regional numbers were then allocated to county regional geographies using the same method as in Working Population Option 1. Note: These projections utilized an earlier set of population targets for Kitsap and Snohomish counties than those used in the Population Targets Trend (unadjusted) and Population Targets Trend (adjusted) projections.


## TABLES

REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT: Regional Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | Core Cities | Larger Cities | Small Cities | Unincorporated UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | 923,000 | 521,000 | 121,000 | 106,000 | 117,000 | 64,000 | 1,853,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 49.8\% | 28.1\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 13,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23,900 | 0 | 37,600 |


|  |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 1,469,000 | ( $+545,000$ ) | 868,000 | (+347,000) | 201,000 | ( $+80,000$ ) | 215,000 | (+109,000) | 215,000 | ( $+98,000$ ) | 105,000 | $(+41,000)$ | 3,072,000 | ( $+1,219,000$ ) |
|  | Metropolitan Cities | 1,472,000 | ( $+549,000$ ) | 887,000 | ( $+366,000$ ) | 243,000 | $(+122,000)$ | 167,000 | (+61,000) | 178,000 | (+61,000) | 125,000 | (+61,000) | 3,072,000 | ( $+1,219,000$ ) |
|  | Larger Cities | 1,167,000 | $(+244,000)$ | 887,000 | ( $+366,000$ ) | 487,000 | $(+366,000)$ | 167,000 | (+61,000) | 239,000 | $(+122,000)$ | 125,000 | (+61,000) | 3,072,000 | ( $+1,219,000$ ) |
|  | Smaller Cities | 1,045,000 | $(+122,000)$ | 643,000 | $(+122,000)$ | 182,000 | (+61,000) | 472,000 | $(+366,000)$ | 544,000 | (+427,000) | 186,000 | (+122,000) | 3,072,000 | (+1,219,000) |
|  | Emp Sector Trend | 1,438,000 | $(+514,000)$ | 835,000 | (+314,000) | 231,000 | (+110,000) | 203,000 | (+97,000) | 229,000 | $(+111,000)$ | 137,000 | $(+72,000)$ | 3,072,000 | ( $+1,219,000$ ) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 42.2\% |  | 25.8\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 9.1\% |  | 5.9\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.11\% |  | 1.19\% |  | 1.63\% |  | 1.64\% |  | 1.69\% |  | 1.92\% |  | 1.27\% |  |
|  | Emp Targets Trend | 1,422,000 | (+498,000) | 846,000 | (+325,000) | 208,000 | $(+87,000)$ | 223,000 | (+117,000) | 251,000 | (+133,000) | 123,000 | \#VALUE! | 3,072,000 | (+1,219,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 40.9\% |  | 26.7\% |  | 7.1\% |  | 9.6\% |  | 10.9\% |  | \#VaLuE! |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.09\% |  | 1.22\% |  | 1.36\% |  | 1.88\% |  | 1.93\% |  | 1.65\% |  | 1.27\% |  |
|  | PGA Emp Distribution | 1,435,000 | $(+511,000)$ | 873,000 | (+352,000) | 232,000 | (+111,000) | 206,000 | (+100,000) | 231,000 | $(+113,000)$ | 96,000 | $(+31,000)$ | 3,072,000 | (+1,219,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 42.0\% |  | 28.9\% |  | 9.1\% |  | 8.2\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.11\% |  | 1.30\% |  | 1.64\% |  | 1.67\% |  | 1.72\% |  | 1.02\% |  | 1.27\% |  |

Unincorporated UGA Employment Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | TOTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 Employment** | 135,900 | 51,300 | 84,600 | 9,700 | 38,100 | 18 |  |
| $\%$ Unaffiliated vs. Affiliated |  | $38 \%$ | $62 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

**The regional estimates of affiliated vs. unaffiliated employment for the year 2040 are based only on data for King and Snohomish counties; data for Kitsap and Pierce counties are not available.

REGIONAL POPULATION: Regional Population Distribution for the VISION 2040
Preferred Growth Alternative

|  | Regional Geography | Metro Cities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Core } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ |  | Larger Cities |  | Small Cities |  | Unincorporated UGAs |  | Rural |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 Population | 996,000 |  | 589,000 |  | 331,000 |  | 264,000 |  | 604,000 |  | 493,000 |  | 3,276,000 |  |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog | 30.4\% |  | 18.0\% |  | 10.1\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 18.4\% |  | 15.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
|  |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change <br> 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 1,448,000 | (+452,000) | 875,000 | (+286,000) | 483,000 | (+152,000) | 443,000 | (+179,000) | 1,018,000 | $(+413,000)$ | 722,000 | (+229,000) | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Metropolitan Cities | 1,680,000 | ( $+685,000$ ) | 1,017,000 | $(+428,000)$ | 588,000 | $(+257,000)$ | 435,000 | $(+171,000)$ | 690,000 | ( $+86,000$ ) | 578,000 | $(+86,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Larger Cities | 1,338,000 | (+343,000) | 1,102,000 | ( $+514,000$ ) | 845,000 | $(+514,000)$ | 349,000 | ( $+86,000$ ) | 776,000 | $(+171,000)$ | 578,000 | ( $+86,000$ ) | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Smaller Cities | 1,167,000 | (+171,000) | 760,000 | (+171,000) | 417,000 | $(+86,000)$ | 777,000 | (+514,000) | 1,204,000 | ( $+599,000$ ) | 664,000 | (+171,000) | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Pop Targets Trend (unadj) | 1,440,000 | (+444,000) | 900,000 | (+312,000) | 464,000 | (+133,000) | 444,000 | (+180,000) | 1,096,000 | (+492,000) | 683,000 | (+191,000) | 5,027,000 | (+1,752,000) |
| $\stackrel{y}{y}$ | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 25.3\% |  | 17.8\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 10.3\% |  | 28.1\% |  | 10.9\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.93\% |  | 1.07\% |  | 0.85\% |  | 1.31\% |  | 1.50\% |  | 0.82\% |  | 1.08\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 1 | 1,603,000 | (+608,000) | 941,000 | (+353,000) | 519,000 | (+188,000) | 395,000 | $(+131,000)$ | 904,000 | (+301,000) | 623,000 | (+130,000) | 4,985,000 | ( $+1,711,000)$ |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 35.5\% |  | 20.6\% |  | 11.0\% |  | 7.7\% |  | 17.6\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.20\% |  | 1.18\% |  | 1.13\% |  | 1.01\% |  | 1.01\% |  | 0.59\% |  | 1.06\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 2 | 1,527,000 | (+532,000) | 1,019,000 | (+429,000) | 520,000 | ( $+188,000$ ) | 395,000 | (+131,000) | 905,000 | (+301,000) | 623,000 | (+130,000) | 4,989,000 | (+1,711,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 31.1\% |  | 25.1\% |  | 11.0\% |  | 7.7\% |  | 17.6\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.07\% |  | 1.38\% |  | 1.14\% |  | 1.01\% |  | 1.02\% |  | 0.59\% |  | 1.06\% |  |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution | 1,536,000 | (+540,000) | 951,000 | (+363,000) | 512,000 | (+181,000) | 412,000 | (+148,000) | 966,000 | (+362,000) | 610,000 | (+118,000) | 4,988,000 | (+1,712,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 31.5\% |  | 21.2\% |  | 10.6\% |  | 8.6\% |  | 21.1\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.09\% |  | 1.20\% |  | 1.10\% |  | $1.12 \%$ |  | $1.18 \%$ |  | $0.53 \%$ |  | 1.06\% |  |

Unincorporated UGA Population Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | TotaL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Population | 966,000 | 291,000 | 675,000 | 141,200 | 263,500 | 86000 |  |
| $\%$ Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated |  | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## REGIONAL POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | Core Cities | Larger Cities | Small Cities | Unincorporated UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 Population | 996,000 | 589,000 | 331,000 | 264,000 | 604,000 | 493,000 | 3,276,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 30.4\% | 18.0\% | 10.1\% | 8.0\% | 18.4\% | 15.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Population | 1,516,000 | 896,000 | 504,000 | 401,000 | 920,000 | 750,000 | 4,988,000 |
| 2000 Employment | 923,000 | 521,000 | 121,000 | 106,000 | 117,000 | 64,000 | 1,853,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 49.8\% | 28.1\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Employment | 1,531,000 | 864,000 | 200,000 | 175,000 | 194,000 | 107,000 | 3,072,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 0.99 | 1.04 | 2.52 | 2.29 | 4.74 | 7.01 | 1.62 |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended VI SION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | $1,536,000$ | 951,000 | 512,000 | $\mathbf{4 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | $1,435,000$ | 873,000 | 232,000 | 206,000 | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.07 | 1.09 | 2.21 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ |

REGIONAL POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Enlisted Military | 13,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23,900 | 0 |  |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | $1,531,000$ | 864,000 | 200,000 | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 4 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 1}$ |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: Recommended VI SION $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Preferred Growth Alternative

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | $1,435,000$ | 873,000 | 232,000 | 206,000 | 231,000 | 96 | $\mathbf{9 6 0 0 0}$ |
| 2040 Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 8 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 9 , 6 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}$ |

COUNTY EMPLOYMENT: County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| County | King <br> County | Kitsap <br> County | Pierce <br> County | Snohomish <br> County | Region <br> TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | $1,280,000$ | 79,000 | 263,000 | 232,000 |  |
| Pct Share by County | $69.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |  |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 11,400 | 20,600 | 5,600 |  |  |

*Enlisted military personnel are not included in the 2040 employment figures below, as Regional Council forecasts do not model military personnel levels.

|  |  | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 2,045,000 | $(+766,000)$ | 147,000 | $(+68,000)$ | 464,000 | $(+201,000)$ | 416,000 | $(+185,000)$ | 3,072,000 | $(+1,219,000)$ |
|  | Metropolitan Cities | 2,104,000 | $(+824,000)$ | 141,000 | $(+62,000)$ | 431,000 | $(+168,000)$ | 396,000 | $(+165,000)$ | 3,072,000 | $(+1,219,000)$ |
|  | Larger Cities | 2,046,000 | $(+767,000)$ | 151,000 | $(+72,000)$ | 429,000 | $(+166,000)$ | 446,000 | $(+215,000)$ | 3,072,000 | $(+1,219,000)$ |
|  | Smaller Cities | 1,718,000 | $(+439,000)$ | 194,000 | $(+115,000)$ | 628,000 | $(+365,000)$ | 533,000 | $(+301,000)$ | 3,072,000 | $(+1,219,000)$ |
|  | Small Area Forecasts** | 2,035,000 | (+755,000) | 133,000 | ( $+54,000$ ) | 452,000 | $(+188,000)$ | 453,000 | (+222,000) | 3,072,000 | (+1,219,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 61.9\% |  | 4.4\% |  | 15.4\% |  | 18.2\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.17\% |  | 1.31\% |  | 1.36\% |  | 1.69\% |  | 1.27\% |  |
|  | PGA Emp Distribution | 1,975,000 | (+695,000) | 144,000 | $(+65,000)$ | 475,000 | (+212,000) | 478,000 | (+246,000) | 3,072,000 | (+1,219,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 57.1\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 17.4\% |  | 20.2\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7\% |

**At the county level, the 2040 employment distributions for the "Emp Sector Trend" and "Emp Targets Trend" reasonableness test projections are the same as for the Small Area Forecasts

COUNTY POPULATION: County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

|  | County | King County |  | Kitsap County |  | Pierce County |  | Snohomish County |  | Region TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 Population | 1,737,000 |  | 232,000 |  | 701,000 |  | 606,000 |  | 3,276,000 |  |
|  | Pct Share by County | 53.0\% |  | 7.1\% |  | 21.4\% |  | 18.5\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
|  |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change $2000-40$ |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 2,440,000 | $(+704,000)$ | 386,000 | $(+154,000)$ | 1,097,000 | $(+396,000)$ | 1,065,000 | $(+459,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Metropolitan Cities | 2,733,000 | $(+996,000)$ | 326,000 | $(+94,000)$ | 1,036,000 | $(+335,000)$ | 893,000 | $(+287,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Larger Cities | 2,705,000 | $(+968,000)$ | 336,000 | $(+104,000)$ | 995,000 | $(+295,000)$ | 952,000 | $(+346,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Smaller Cities | 2,406,000 | $(+669,000)$ | 370,000 | $(+138,000)$ | 1,139,000 | $(+438,000)$ | 1,074,000 | $(+468,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Small Area Forecasts* | 2,402,000 | $(+664,000)$ | 377,000 | $(+145,000)$ | 1,126,000 | $(+425,000)$ | 1,084,000 | $(+478,000)$ | 4,988,000 | $(+1,712,000)$ |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 38.8\% |  | 8.5\% |  | 24.8\% |  | 27.9\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.81\% |  | 1.22\% |  | 1.19\% |  | 1.46\% |  | 1.06\% |  |
|  | Pop Targets Trend (unadj) | 2,417,000 | (+680,000) | 389,000 | $(+158,000)$ | 1,086,000 | $(+385,000)$ | 1,135,000 | $(+529,000)$ | 5,027,000 | (+1,752,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 38.8\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 22.0\% |  | 30.2\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.83\% |  | 1.30\% |  | 1.10\% |  | 1.58\% |  | 1.08\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 1 | 2,529,000 | (+793,000) | 361,000 | $(+129,000)$ | 1,093,000 | (+393,000) | 1,002,000 | $(+396,000)$ | 4,985,000 | (+1,711,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 46.3\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 23.0\% |  | 23.1\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.94\% |  | 1.11\% |  | 1.12\% |  | 1.27\% |  | 1.06\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 2 | 2,533,000 | (+796,000) | 359,000 | (+125,000) | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) | 1,003,000 | (+397,000) | 4,989,000 | (+1,711,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 46.5\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 23.0\% |  | 23.2\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.95\% |  | 1.10\% |  | 1.12\% |  | 1.27\% |  | 1.06\% |  |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution | 2,461,000 | (+724,000) | 381,000 | (+149,000) | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) | 1,052,000 | $(+446,000)$ | 4,988,000 | (+1,712,000) |
|  | Pct Share by County |  | 42.3\% |  | 8.7\% |  | 23.0\% |  | 26.1\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.87\% |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.12\% |  | 1.39\% |  | 1.06\% |  |

[^0]
## COUNTY POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| County | King <br> County | Kitsap <br> County | Pierce <br> County | Snohomish <br> County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2040 Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| 2000 Population | $1,737,000$ | 232,000 | 701,000 | 606,000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pct Share by County | $53.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 7 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 3 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| 2000 Employment | $1,280,000$ | 79,000 | 263,000 | 232,000 | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Pct Share by County | $69.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment | $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |  |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 2}$ |  |

2040 Population and Employment: Small Area Forecasts

| 2040 Population | $2,402,000$ | 377,000 | $1,126,000$ | $4,084,000$ | 453,000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | $2,035,000$ | 133,000 | 452,000 | 2.39 | 2.49 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.18 | 2.83 | 1.62 |  |  |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended VISI ON 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | $2,461,000$ | 381,000 | $1,094,000$ | $1,052,000$ | $4,988,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | $1,975,000$ | 144,000 | 475,000 | 478,000 | 2.20 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.25 | 2.65 | 2.30 | 1.62 |  |

COUNTY POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| County | King <br> County | Kitsap <br> County | Pierce <br> County | Snohomish <br> County | Region <br> TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Enlisted Military | 0 | 11,400 | 20,600 | 5,600 |  |

2040 Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 3 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | $2,121,000$ | 131,000 | 436,000 | 384,000 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 9 , 6 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}$ |

2040 Population and Employment: Small Area Forecasts

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | $2,035,000$ | 133,000 | 452,000 | 453,000 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 2 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 8 , 6 0 0}$ |  |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 9 , 6 0 0}$ |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended VI SI ON 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | $1,975,000$ | 144,000 | 475,000 | 478,000 | $\mathbf{4 8 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| 2040 Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 9 , 6 0 0}$ |  |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}$ |  |

*The 2040 employment distributions including enlisted military personnel assume the same military personnel levels as in 2000.

KING EMPLOYMENT: King County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040
Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | Core Cities | Larger Cities | Small Cities | Unincorporated UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | 701,000 | 432,000 | 73,000 | 23,000 | 32,000 | 19,000 | 1,280,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 54.8\% | 33.8\% | 5.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Enlisted military personnel are not included in the 2040 employment figures below, as Regional Council forecasts do not model military personnel levels.


Unincorporated UGA Employment Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Sities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | 55,000 | 21,600 | 33,300 | 600 | 29,500 |  |  |
| $\%$ Unaffiliated vs. Affiliated |  | $39 \%$ | $61 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

KING POPULATION: King County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

|  | Regional Geography | Metro Cities |  | Core Cities |  | Larger Cities |  | Small Cities |  | Unincorporated UGAs |  | Rural |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 Population | 673,000 |  | 434,000 |  | 178,000 |  | 105,000 |  | 212,000 |  | 135,000 |  | 1,737,000 |  |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog | 38.8\% |  | 25.0\% |  | 10.2\% |  | 6.0\% |  | 12.2\% |  | 7.8\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
|  |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2000-40 } \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
|  | Small Area Forecasts (enty) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,402,000 | (+664,000) |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution (cnty) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,461,000 | (+724,000) |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 937,000 | ( $+264,000$ ) | 635,000 | ( $+201,000$ ) | 259,000 | ( $+81,000$ ) | 154,000 | (+50,000) | 283,000 | ( $+70,000$ ) | 173,000 | ( $+38,000$ ) | 2,440,000 | ( $+704,000$ ) |
| 匿 | Metropolitan Cities | 1,116,000 | (+443,000) | 745,000 | (+311,000) | 315,000 | (+138,000) | 165,000 | $(+60,000)$ | 236,000 | (+24,000) | 156,000 | (+21,000) | 2,733,000 | $(+996,000)$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{4}{4}}{n}$ | Larger Cities | 895,000 | $(+222,000)$ | 807,000 | $(+373,000)$ | 453,000 | ( $+275,000$ ) | 135,000 | $(+30,000)$ | 260,000 | $(+48,000)$ | 156,000 | (+21,000) | 2,705,000 | ( $+968,000$ ) |
|  | Smaller Cities | 784,000 | $(+111,000)$ | 559,000 | $(+124,000)$ | 223,000 | $(+46,000)$ | 286,000 | $(+181,000)$ | 379,000 | $(+166,000)$ | 176,000 | $(+41,000)$ | 2,406,000 | $(+669,000)$ |
|  | Pop Targets (2022)* | 816,000 | $(+143,000)$ | 555,000 | $(+121,000)$ | 221,000 | $(+43,000)$ | 127,000 | $(+23,000)$ | 244,000 | (+32,000) | 147,000 | $(+12,000)$ | 2,110,000 | (+374,000) |
| $\frac{5}{y}$ | Pop Targets Trend (unadj) | 933,000 | (+260,000) | 654,000 | ( $+220,000$ ) | 256,000 | ( $+78,000$ ) | 147,000 | $(+42,000)$ | 270,000 | $(+58,000)$ | 157,000 | $(+22,000)$ | 2,417,000 | (+680,000) |
| \% | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 38.2\% |  | 32.4\% |  | 11.5\% |  | 6.2\% |  | 8.5\% |  | 3.2\% |  | 100.0\% |
| 镸 | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.82\% |  | 1.03\% |  | 0.91\% |  | 0.84\% |  | 0.61\% |  | 0.38\% |  | 0.83\% |  |
|  | Pop Targets Trend (adj) | 950,000 | (+277,000) | 668,000 | (+234,000) | 261,000 | ( $+83,000$ ) | 150,000 | $(+45,000)$ | 274,000 | $(+62,000)$ | 158,000 | ( $+23,000$ ) | 2,461,000 | (+724,000) |
|  | Working Pop Option 1 | 1,021,000 | (+348,000) | 666,000 | (+232,000) | 282,000 | (+105,000) | 142,000 | $(+37,000)$ | 262,000 | ( $+50,000$ ) | 156,000 | $(+21,000)$ | 2,529,000 | (+793,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 43.9\% |  | 29.3\% |  | 13.2\% |  | 4.7\% |  | 6.3\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.05\% |  | 1.08\% |  | 1.16\% |  | 0.76\% |  | 0.53\% |  | 0.36\% |  | 0.94\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 2 | 968,000 | $(+295,000)$ | 723,000 | (+288,000) | 282,000 | (+105,000) | 142,000 | $(+37,000)$ | 262,000 | $(+50,000)$ | 156,000 | ( $+21,000$ ) | 2,533,000 | (+796,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 37.1\% |  | 36.2\% |  | 13.2\% |  | 4.6\% |  | 6.3\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.91\% |  | 1.28\% |  | 1.16\% |  | 0.76\% |  | 0.53\% |  | 0.36\% |  | 0.95\% |  |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution | 967,000 | (+294,000) | 664,000 | $(+230,000)$ | 276,000 | $(+98,000)$ | 144,000 | $(+39,000)$ | 255,000 | $(+43,000)$ | 155,000 | ( $+20,000$ ) | 2,461,000 | (+724,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 40.6\% |  | 31.8\% |  | 13.5\% |  | 5.4\% |  | 5.9\% |  | 2.8\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 0.91\% |  | 1.07\% |  | 1.10\% |  | 0.79\% |  | 0.46\% |  | 0.35\% |  | 0.87\% |  |

*King County's 2022 population targets were estimated from the county's adopted households targets using subarea average household size and group quarter population assumptions developed by the county for targeting purposes.
Unincorporated UGA Population Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Population** | 255,000 | 50,900 | 204,100 | 5,300 | 177,000 | 19,500 |  | 2,300 |
| $\%$ Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated |  | $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

**The 2040 estimates of affiliated vs. unaffiliated population for King County assumes that the EKC UUU (Redmond Ridge) will not grow beyond its current 2022 target

KING POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2000 Population | 673,000 | 434,000 | 178,000 | 105,000 | 212,000 | 135,000 | 1,737,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 38.8\% | 25.0\% | 10.2\% | 6.0\% | 12.2\% | 7.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Population | 954,000 | 615,000 | 251,000 | 148,000 | 301,000 | 191,000 | 2,461,000 |
| 2000 Employment | 701,000 | 432,000 | 73,000 | 23,000 | 32,000 | 19,000 | 1,280,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 54.8\% | 33.8\% | 5.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Employment | 1,082,000 | 667,000 | 113,000 | 36,000 | 49,000 | 29,000 | 1,975,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 0.88 | 0.92 | 2.22 | 4.11 | 6.14 | 6.59 | 1.25 |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended VI SI ON 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | 967,000 | 664,000 | 276,000 | 144,000 | 255,000 | 155,000 | $2,461,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | $1,012,000$ | 694,000 | 142,000 | 48,000 | 55,000 | 24,000 | $1,975,000$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.94 | 3.00 | 4.64 | 6.46 | 1.25 |

Regional average Pop to Emp ratio $=\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$

KING POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> cities | Core <br> cities | Larger <br> cities | Small <br> cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Enlisted Military | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{9 5 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | $1,082,000$ | 667,000 | 113,000 | 36,000 | 4,000 | 29,000 | $1,975,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: Recommended VI SION $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Preferred Growth Alternative

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{9 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | $1,012,000$ | 694,000 | 142,000 | 48,000 | 5,000 | 24,000 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ |

Regional average Pop to Emp ratio (including enlisted military) $\mathbf{= 1 . 6 0}$
*The 2040 employment distributions including enlisted military personnel assume the same military personnel levels as in 2000 .

KITSAP EMPLOYMENT: Kitsap County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040
Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Core } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ | Larger Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Small } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ | Unincorporated UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | 30,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 | 11,000 | 14,000 | 13,000 | 79,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 37.9\% | 6.6\% | 7.5\% | 13.9\% | 17.5\% | 16.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 8,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,300 | 0 | 11,400 |


**Kitsap County's employment targets were in draft stage at the time this table was being developed; no target for rural employment was available.
Unincorporated UGA Employment Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | total | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated for Annexation | Metro Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Core } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ | Larger Cities | Small | Cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | n/a | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |
| \% Unaffiliated vs. Affiliated |  | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |

KITSAP POPULATION: Kitsap County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

*Kitsap County's population targets were in draft stage at the time this table was being developed.
Unincorporated UGA Population Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Anexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Population | 91,000 | 54,000 | 37,000 | 11,500 | 0 |  |  |
| $\%$ Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated |  | $59 \%$ | $41 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## KITSAP POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2000 Population | 37,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 46,000 | 98,000 | 232,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 16.1\% | 6.6\% | 8.8\% | 6.3\% | 19.9\% | 42.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Population | 61,000 | 25,000 | 33,000 | 24,000 | 76,000 | 162,000 | 381,000 |
| 2000 Employment | 30,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 | 11,000 | 14,000 | 13,000 | 79,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 37.9\% | 6.6\% | 7.5\% | 13.9\% | 17.5\% | 16.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Employment | 55,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 24,000 | 144,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.11 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 3.04 | 6.75 | 2.65 |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | 67,000 | 33,000 | 36,000 | 27,000 | $\mathbf{9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | 44,000 | 20,000 | 11,000 | 19,000 | $\mathbf{3 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.52 | 1.65 | 3.27 | 1.42 | $\mathbf{2 4 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |

[^1]KITSAP POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{6 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | 55,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 | 20,000 | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment ( $\mathbf{w}$ / military)* | $\mathbf{6 3 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 0 0}$ |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: Recommended VI SI ON $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Preferred Growth Alternative

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | 44,000 | 20,000 | 11,000 | 19,000 | 32,000 | 18,000 | 144,000 |
| 2040 Employment ( $\mathbf{w} /$ military)* | $\mathbf{5 2 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 , 4 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ |

Regional average Pop to Emp ratio (including enlisted military) $\mathbf{= 1 . 6 0}$
*The 2040 employment distributions including enlisted military personnel assume the same military personnel levels as in 2000 .

PIERCE EMPLOYMENT: Pierce County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | Core Cities | Larger Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Small } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ | Unincorporated UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | 113,000 | 47,000 | 6,000 | 40,000 | 37,000 | 20,000 | 263,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 43.0\% | 17.9\% | 2.2\% | 15.2\% | 14.0\% | 7.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,600 | 0 | 20,600 |


**The "Employment Targets Trend" projections for Pierce County are based on informal (not formally adopted) employment projections for the year 2017 that were developed for the county's Buildable Lands program.
Unincorporated UGA Employment Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |  |  |
| $\%$ Unaffiliated vs. Affiliated |  | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |  |  |  |  |

PIERCE POPULATION: Pierce County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

|  | Regional Geography | Metro Cities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Core } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ |  | Larger Cities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Small } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ |  | Unincorporated UGAs |  | Rural |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 Population | 194,000 |  | 91,000 |  | 30,000 |  | 72,000 |  | 170,000 |  | 144,000 |  | 701,000 |  |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog | 27.6\% |  | 13.0\% |  | 4.3\% |  | 10.3\% |  | 24.2\% |  | 20.6\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
|  |  | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2000-40 } \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change <br> 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 2000-40 \end{aligned}$ | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
|  | Small Area Forecasts (cnty) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,126,000 | $(+425,000)$ |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution (enty) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) |
|  | Growth Targets Extended | 307,000 | (+114,000) | 142,000 | ( $+51,000$ ) | 40,000 | $(+10,000)$ | 141,000 | (+69,000) | 278,000 | ( $+108,000$ ) | 188,000 | (+44,000) | 1,097,000 | (+396,000) |
|  | Metropolitan Cities | 339,000 | ( $+145,000$ ) | 161,000 | $(+70,000)$ | 51,000 | $(+21,000)$ | 125,000 | $(+53,000)$ | 193,000 | $(+23,000)$ | 166,000 | (+22,000) | 1,036,000 | $(+335,000)$ |
|  | Larger Cities | 266,000 | $(+73,000)$ | 175,000 | $(+84,000)$ | 73,000 | ( $+43,000$ ) | 98,000 | $(+26,000)$ | 217,000 | $(+47,000)$ | 166,000 | $(+22,000)$ | 995,000 | ( $+295,000$ ) |
|  | Smaller Cities | 230,000 | $(+36,000)$ | 119,000 | ( $+28,000$ ) | 37,000 | $(+7,000)$ | 230,000 | $(+158,000)$ | 334,000 | (+164,000) | 189,000 | $(+45,000)$ | 1,139,000 | (+438,000) |
|  | Pop Targets (2022)* | 255,000 | $(+62,000)$ | 119,000 | $(+27,000)$ | 34,000 | $(+4,000)$ | 115,000 | $(+43,000)$ | 230,000 | (+61,000) | 159,000 | $(+15,000)$ | 912,000 | (+212,000) |
| $\frac{8}{y}$ | Pop Targets Trend (unadj) | 307,000 | (+113,000) | 140,000 | (+49,000) | 37,000 | $(+7,000)$ | 150,000 | ( $+78,000$ ) | 281,000 | (+111,000) | 171,000 | $(+27,000)$ | 1,086,000 | (+385,000) |
| $\frac{\stackrel{⿺}{0}}{\frac{0}{01}}$ | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 29.4\% |  | 12.7\% |  | 1.8\% |  | 20.3\% |  | 28.8\% |  | 7.0\% |  | 100.0\% |
| 皆 | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.15\% |  | 1.08\% |  | 0.53\% |  | 1.85\% |  | 1.26\% |  | 0.43\% |  | 1.10\% |  |
|  | Pop Targets Trend (adj) | 309,000 | $(+115,000)$ | 141,000 | $(+50,000)$ | 37,000 | $(+7,000)$ | 152,000 | $(+80,000)$ | 283,000 | $(+113,000)$ | 172,000 | $(+28,000)$ | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) |
|  | Working Pop Option 1 | 337,000 | (+143,000) | 160,000 | (+69,000) | 47,000 | $(+17,000)$ | 122,000 | $(+50,000)$ | 253,000 | $(+84,000)$ | 174,000 | ( $+30,000$ ) | 1,093,000 | (+393,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 36.4\% |  | 17.6\% |  | 4.3\% |  | 12.7\% |  | 21.4\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.39\% |  | 1.42\% |  | 1.13\% |  | 1.33\% |  | 1.00\% |  | 0.47\% |  | 1.12\% |  |
|  | Working Pop Option 2 | 324,000 | (+130,000) | 173,000 | (+82,000) | 47,000 | ( $+17,000$ ) | 122,000 | $(+50,000)$ | 254,000 | (+84,000) | 174,000 | $(+30,000)$ | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 33.1\% |  | 20.9\% |  | 4.3\% |  | 12.7\% |  | 21.4\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.29\% |  | 1.62\% |  | 1.13\% |  | 1.33\% |  | 1.01\% |  | 0.47\% |  | 1.12\% |  |
|  | PGA Pop Distribution | 321,000 | (+127,000) | 166,000 | ( $+75,000$ ) | 53,000 | ( $+23,000$ ) | 129,000 | ( $+57,000$ ) | 257,000 | $(+87,000)$ | 168,000 | ( $+24,000$ ) | 1,094,000 | (+393,000) |
|  | Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 32.3\% |  | 19.1\% |  | 5.9\% |  | 14.5\% |  | 22.1\% |  | 6.1\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.27\% |  | 1.51\% |  | 1.43\% |  | 1.47\% |  | 1.04\% |  | 0.39\% |  | 1.12\% |  |

*Pierce County's 2022 population targets were developing using a 2000 base year and 2002 municipal boundaries.
Unincorporated UGA Population Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Citioes | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Population | 257,000 | 145,900 | 111,100 | 70,900 | 18,300 | 0 |  |
| $\%$ Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated |  | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

PIERCE POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2000 Population | 194,000 | 91,000 | 30,000 | 72,000 | 170,000 | 144,000 | 701,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 27.6\% | 13.0\% | 4.3\% | 10.3\% | 24.2\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Population | 302,000 | 143,000 | 47,000 | 112,000 | 265,000 | 225,000 | 1,094,000 |
| 2000 Employment | 113,000 | 47,000 | 6,000 | 40,000 | 37,000 | 20,000 | 263,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 43.0\% | 17.9\% | 2.2\% | 15.2\% | 14.0\% | 7.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Employment | 204,000 | 85,000 | 11,000 | 72,000 | 66,000 | 36,000 | 475,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.48 | 1.68 | 4.27 | 1.56 | 4.02 | 6.25 | 2.30 |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended V SION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | 321,000 | 166,000 | 53,000 | 129,000 | 257,000 | 168,000 | $1,094,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | 210,000 | 87,000 | 12,000 | 77,000 | 62,000 | 27,000 | 475,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.53 | 1.91 | 4.42 | 1.68 | 4.15 | 6.22 | 2.30 |

[^2]PIERCE POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> Cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{3 0 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | 204,000 | 85,000 | 11,000 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 6}$ |  |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: Recommended VI SI ON $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Preferred Growth Alternative

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{3 2 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | 210,000 | 87,000 | 12,000 | 77,000 | 62,000 | 27,000 | 4750 |
| 2040 Employment ( $\mathbf{w} /$ military)* | $\mathbf{2 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 , 6 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ |

Regional average Pop to Emp ratio (including enlisted military) $\mathbf{= 1 . 6 0}$
*The 2040 employment distributions including enlisted military personnel assume the same military personnel levels as in 2000

SNOHOMISH EMPLOYMENT: Snohomish County Employment Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Core } \\ & \text { Cities } \end{aligned}$ | Larger Cities | Small Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unincorporated } \\ & \text { UGAs } \end{aligned}$ | Rural | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Employment | 80,000 | 37,000 | 36,000 | 32,000 | 35,000 | 13,000 | 232,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 34.4\% | 15.9\% | 15.5\% | 13.6\% | 15.1\% | 5.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 2000 Enlisted Military* | 5,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,600 |



Unincorporated UGA Employment Affiliated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | TotaL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SO40 Employment | 81,000 | 29,700 | 51,300 | 9,100 | 8,600 | 15,800 |  |
| $\%$ Unaffiliated vs. Affiliated |  | $37 \%$ | $63 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

SNOHOMISH POPULATION: Snohomish County Population Distribution for the VISION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| Regional Geography | Metro Cities |  | Core Cities |  | Larger Cities |  | Small Cities |  | UnincorporatedUGAs UGAs |  | Rural |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Population | 92,000 |  | 48,000 |  | 103,000 |  | 72,000 |  | 176,000 |  | 115,000 |  | 606,000 |  |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 15.1\% |  | 7.9\% |  | 17.0\% |  | 11.9\% |  | 29.1\% |  | 19.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
|  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | $\left.2040$Change <br> $2000-40$ \right\rvert\, |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 | 2040 2000-40 |  | 2040 |  | 2040 | Change 2000-40 |
| Small Area Forecasts (cnty) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,084,000 | (+478,000) |
| PGA Pop Distribution (cnty) | $143,000$ | $(+52,000)$ | $71,000$ | $(+23,000)$ |  | $(+47,000)$ |  | ( $+50,000$ ) | 360,000 | (+184,000) | 219,000 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \mathbf{1 , 0 5 2 , 0 0 0} \\ \hline 1,065,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline(+446,000) \\ \hline(+459,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Growth Targets Extended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(+103,000)$ |  |  |
| Metropolitan Cities | 159,000 | $(+68,000)$ | 82,000 | $(+35,000)$ | 183,000 | $(+80,000)$ | 121,000 | $(+49,000)$ | 206,000 | $(+30,000)$ | $141,000$ | $(+26,000)$ | $893,000$ | $(+287,000)$ |
| Larger Cities | $125,000$ | $(+34,000)$ |  | $(+41,000)$ | $263,000$ | (+160,000) | 97,000 | ( $+24,000$ ) | 237,000 | $(+61,000)$ | $141,000$ | $(+26,000)$ | 952,000 | (+346,000) |
| Smaller Cities | $109,000$ | $(+17,000)$ | $62,000$ | $(+14,000)$ | $130,000$ | $(+27,000)$ | 219,000 | $(+146,000)$ | 388,000 | (+212,000) | 167,000 | (+52,000) | $1,074,000$ | (+468,000) |
| Pop Targets (2002-25)* | . | (+27,000) | - | (+17,000) | - | $(+20,000)$ | - | (+28,000) | - | (+152,000) | - | $(+60,000)$ | - | (+304,000) |
| Pop Targets Trend (unadj) | 139,000 | (+47,000) | 78,000 | $(+30,000)$ | $138,000$ | $(+35,000)$ | 121,000 | ( $+49,000$ ) | 440,000 | (+264,000) | 219,000 | (+104,000) | 1,135,000 | (+529,000) |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 8.9\% |  | 5.7\% |  |  | 6.6\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 49.9\% |  | 19.7\% | 100.0\% |  |
| Avg Annual Growth Rate <br> Pop Targets Trend (adj) | 1.04\% |  | 1.22\% |  | 0.73\% |  | 1.31\% |  | $2.32 \%$ |  | 1.62\% |  | 1.58\% |  |
|  | 132,000 | $(+40,000)$ | 73,000 | $(+25,000)$ | 133,000 | $(+30,000)$ | 113,000 | $(+41,000)$ | 399,000 $(+223,000)$ <br> 308,000 $1+132,00)$ |  | 203,000 $(+88,000)$ |  | $1,052,000$ $(+446,000)$ <br> $1,02,000$ $(+396,0$ |  |
| Working Pop Option 1 | 180,000 | ( $+89,000$ ) | $85,000$ | $(+37,000)$ | $160,000$ | $(+56,000)$ | 107,000 | $(+35,000)$ | 308,000 | $(+132,000)$ | $162,000$ | $(+47,000)$ | $1,002,000$ | (+396,000) |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 22.5\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 14.1\% |  | 8.8\% |  | 33.3\% |  | 11.9\% |  | 100.0\% |
| Avg Annual Growth Rate | $1.69 \%$ |  | $1.44 \%$ |  | 1.11\% |  | 1.00\% |  | 1.41\% |  | 0.86\% |  | 1.27\% |  |
| Working Pop Option 2 | 174,000 | (+83,000) | $92,000$ | $(+44,000)$ | 160,000 | (+56,000) | 107,000 | (+35,000) | 308,000 | (+132,000) | 162,000 | $(+47,000)$ | 1,003,000 | (+397,000) |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 20.9\% |  | 11.1\% |  | 14.1\% |  | 8.8\% |  | 33.2\% |  | 11.8\% |  | 100.0\% |
| Avg Annual Growth Rate | 1.61\% |  | 1.64\% |  | 1.11\% |  | 1.00\% |  | 1.41\% |  | 0.86\% |  | 1.27\% |  |
| PGA Pop Distribution | 181,000 | (+89,000) | 88,000 | (+40,000) | 147,000 | (+44,000) | 112,000 | $(+40,000)$ | 363,000 | (+187,000) | 161,000 | $(+46,000)$ | 1,052,000 | (+446,000) |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog |  | 20.0\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 9.9\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 41.9\% |  | 10.3\% |  | 100.0\% |
| Avg Annual Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.84 \%$ |  |  |  |

*Snohomish County's 2025 population targets were d
TDR Reserve was not included under any category.

Unincorporated UGA Population Affliiated vs. Unaffiliated for Annexation

|  | ToTAL | (A) Unaffiliated | (B) Affiliated <br> for Annexation | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SNOHOMISH POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> Cities | Small <br> cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2000 Population | 92,000 | 48,000 | 103,000 | 72,000 | 176,000 | 115,000 | 606,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 15.1\% | 7.9\% | 17.0\% | 11.9\% | 29.1\% | 19.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Population | 159,000 | 83,000 | 179,000 | 126,000 | 306,000 | 200,000 | 1,052,000 |
| 2000 Employment | 80,000 | 37,000 | 36,000 | 32,000 | 35,000 | 13,000 | 232,000 |
| Pct Share by Reg Geog | 34.4\% | 15.9\% | 15.5\% | 13.6\% | 15.1\% | 5.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 2040 Employment | 164,000 | 76,000 | 74,000 | 65,000 | 72,000 | 26,000 | 478,000 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 0.97 | 1.09 | 2.42 | 1.94 | 4.25 | 7.69 | 2.20 |

2040 Population and Employment: Recommended V SION 2040 Preferred Growth Alternative

| 2040 Population | 181,000 | 88,000 | 147,000 | 112,000 | 363,000 | 161,000 | $1,052,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment | 169,000 | 72,000 | 67,000 | 62,000 | 82,000 | 27,000 | 4.0 |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | 1.07 | 1.22 | 2.19 | 1.81 | 4.43 |  |  |

## SNOHOMISH POPULATION TO EMPLOYMENT RATIO (INCLUDING ENLISTED MILITARY)

| Regional Geography | Metro <br> Cities | Core <br> Cities | Larger <br> cities | Small <br> cities | Unincorporated <br> UGAs | Rural | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: No Change Scenario (2040 distributions based on 2000 percent share by regional geography)

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/o military) | 164,000 | 76,000 | 74,000 | 65,000 | 72,000 | 26 | 478,000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 6 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 9}$ |  |

$\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population and Employment: Recommended VI SION $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Preferred Growth Alternative

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Population | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2040 Employment (w/0 military) | 169,000 | 72,000 | 67,000 | 62,000 | 82,000 | 27,000 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Employment (w/ military)* | $\mathbf{1 7 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Ratio: Pop to Emp | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 8 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |

Regional average Pop to Emp ratio (including enlisted military) $\mathbf{= 1 . 6 0}$
*The 2040 employment distributions including enlisted military personnel assume the same military personnel levels as in 2000

APPENDIX 1 - ATTACHMENT 1: CITIES BY COUNTY BY REGIONAL GEOGRAPHY

| KING COU |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro <br> Cities (2) | Core <br> Cities (10) | Larger <br> Cities (7) | Small <br> Cities (20) |
| Bellevue | Auburn (Kin) | Des Moines | Algona |
| Seattle | Bothell (Kin) | Issaquah | Beaux Arts |
|  | Burien | Kenmore | Black Diamond |
|  | Federal Way | Mercer Island | Carnation |
|  | Kent | Sammamish | Clyde Hill |
|  | Kirkland | Shoreline | Covington |
|  | Redmond | Woodinville | Duvall |
|  | Renton |  | Enumclaw |
|  | SeaTac |  | Hunts Point |
|  | Tukwila |  | Lake Forest Park |
|  |  |  | Maple Valley |
|  |  |  | Medina |
|  |  |  | Milton (Kin) |
|  |  |  | Newcastle |
|  |  |  | Normandy Park |
|  |  |  | North Bend |
|  |  |  | Pacific (Kin) |
|  |  |  | Skykomish |
|  |  |  | Snoqualmie |
|  |  |  | Yarrow Point |


| KITSAP COUNTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro <br> Cities (1) | Core <br> Cities (1) | Larger <br> Cities (1) | Small <br> Cities (2) |
| Bremerton | Silverdale* | Bainbridge Island | Port Orchard Poulsbo |


| PIERCE COUNTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro <br> Cities (1) | Core Cities (3) | Larger <br> Cities (1) | Small Cities (18) |
| Tacoma | Auburn (Prc) <br> Lakewood <br> Puyallup | University Place | Buckley <br> Bonney Lake <br> Carbonado <br> DuPont <br> Eatonville <br> Edgewood <br> Fife <br> Fircrest <br> Gig Harbor <br> Milton (Prc) <br> Orting <br> Pacific (Prc) <br> Roy <br> Ruston <br> South Prairie <br> Steilacoom <br> Sumner <br> Wilkeson |


| SNOHOMISH COUNTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro <br> Cities (1) | Core Cities (2) | Larger Cities (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Small } \\ & \text { Cities (13) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Everett | Bothell (Sno) Lynnwood | Edmonds <br> Marysville <br> Mountlake Terrace <br> Mukilteo | Arlington Brier <br> Darrington <br> Gold Bar <br> Granite Falls <br> Index <br> Lake Stevens <br> Mill Creek <br> Monroe <br> Snohomish <br> Stanwood <br> Sultan <br> Woodway |


[^0]:    *At the county level, the 2040 population distribution for the "Pop Targets Trend" reasonableness test projection is the same as for the Small Area Forecasts.

[^1]:    Regional average Pop to Emp ratio $\mathbf{= 1 . 6 2}$

[^2]:    Regional average Pop to Emp ratio $=1.62$

