2018 Project Selection Process –
Policy Framework Follow-up

Executive Board
February 22, 2018
Recap of January Meeting

- The Executive Board reviewed the recommendations forwarded from the Transportation Policy Board for the 2018 Policy Framework for PSRC’s Federal Funds
  - Includes the policies and procedures for conducting the 2018 project selection process
  - E.g., set-asides, the policy focus, distributions among competitions, funding amounts, etc.
    - Also includes a revision to the distribution of points in the regional criteria
Current Status

- The Executive Board deferred action in January on the *2018 Policy Framework for PSRC’s Federal Funds*

- Directed staff to provide more details on the distribution of criteria points to the Transportation Policy Board for review
  - *Specifically, 5 points moved from the Project Readiness criterion to the Policy criterion*

- In February, the Transportation Policy Board reviewed and recommended approval of this criteria scoring detail, as part of the *2018 Policy Framework for PSRC’s Federal Funds*
2018 Project Selection Schedule

September to December 2017 – Task Force prepares recommendations on policies and procedures to the Transportation Policy Board

February 2018 – 2018 Policy Framework adopted

March to July 2018 – Project selection process conducted; project recommendations to Board

September 2018 – Public comment on Draft 2019-2022 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

October 2018 – Board adoption of 2019-2022 Regional TIP

January 2019 – Federal approval of TIP
Requested Action

The Executive Board is asked to approve:

- the policies and procedures for the 2018 project selection process as identified in Attachment A
  - including the redistribution of points within the regional project evaluation criteria, as described in Attachments B and C

*The policies and procedures will be documented in the 2018 Policy Framework for PSRC’s Federal Funds.*
Thank You

Kelly McGourty
Senior Program Manager
(206) 971-3601
kmcgourty@psrc.org
Project evaluation criteria for both regional competitions (FHWA and FTA funds) include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY CRITERIA</th>
<th>TECHNICAL CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support for centers</td>
<td>Emissions reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Project readiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility and accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populations served</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Criteria Details

- Three project pathways for the regional competition for FHWA funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Description</th>
<th>Policy Criteria</th>
<th>Technical Criteria</th>
<th>Total Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project is located <strong>IN</strong> a regional center</td>
<td>Policy criteria</td>
<td>Policy criteria</td>
<td>Total of 100 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located <strong>IN</strong> a manufacturing / industrial center</td>
<td>Policy criteria</td>
<td>Technical criteria</td>
<td>Total of 100 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located on a corridor serving a center</td>
<td>Policy criteria</td>
<td>Technical criteria</td>
<td>Total of 100 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation is to move the five points reduced from the Project Readiness criterion to the Policy section of the criteria.

In general, the Policy criteria is separated into:

1) how the project supports the development of the center, and
2) how the project improves mobility, accessibility, safety, etc.

Since 1) is currently more heavily weighted than 2), the recommendation is to add the five points to 2), improvement of mobility, accessibility, safety, etc.

1) will still be more heavily weighted, but not as much