Overview

- DSEIS Comment Update
- Preferred Alternative discussion
- Regional Growth Strategy chapter
- Next steps
148 discrete comment letters, emails and forms

Types of commenters (preliminary tally):

- Cities and towns: 35
- Counties or countywide groups: 5
- Business community: 10
- Area councils: 4
- State agencies: 3
- Transportation organizations: 5
- Nonprofit, advocacy or other organizations: 23
Draft SEIS Comments

Preliminary Top Comment Categories:

• Alternatives (most support for Transit Focused Growth)
• Transportation
• Land use
• Planning process, policy, or public engagement
• Housing
• General environmental
• Employment/economy
• Environmental justice/equity
• Climate Change
SEIS Evaluation Criteria

Categories:

- Climate change
- Development Patterns
- Economy
- Environment
- Equity
- Health
- Housing
- Public Services
- Transportation
# SEIS Evaluation Criteria

## Economy Category:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Stay the Course</th>
<th>Transit Focused Growth</th>
<th>Reset Urban Growth</th>
<th>Preferred Growth Alternative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to jobs</strong> (jobs accessible per resident by travel mode: within a one-mile walk trip, 3-mile bike trip, or 45-minute transit trip)(^1)</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jobs-housing balance</strong> (jobs-housing ratio indexed to regional average)</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
<td>☀️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preferred Alternative
Information for a Preferred Alternative

- Draft Supplemental EIS
- Public comment
- Supplemental technical evaluation
- Discussion of board priorities
Growth Rates - Population

Average Annual Population Change - Region

- Metropolitan Cities
- Core Cities
- HCT Communities
- Cities and Towns
- Urban Unincorporated
- Rural

- 2000-17 Actual
- 2010-17 Actual
- STC
- TFG
- RUG
Growth Rates - Employment

Average Annual Employment Change - Region

- Metropolitan Cities
- Core Cities
- HCT Communities
- Cities and Towns
- Urban Unincorporated
- Rural

Key:
- 2000-17 Actual
- 2010-17 Actual
- STC
- TFG
- RUG
Employment Capacity

- Metropolitan: 600,000
- Core: 400,000
- HCT Communities: 100,000
- Cities & Towns: 2,000
- Urban Unincorporated: 1,000
RGS Objectives

- Focus most growth within stable urban growth area
- Maintain a variety of community types, densities, and sizes
- Better balance of jobs and housing
- Within urban growth areas, focus growth in cities
- Within cities, focus growth in centers
- Build transit-oriented development around planned transit
- Use existing infrastructure and new investments efficiently
Preferred Alternative Discussion

- Some county letters recommend higher growth in rural and urban unincorporated than identified in the Transit Focused Growth alternative.

- Should staff develop an alternative for discussion that adjusts growth for urban unincorporated and rural? If so, from where?
Should the Preferred Alternative include a growth goal near transit? If so, should the 75% goal be revisited?

Should a transit goal differentiate between types of high capacity transit?
Regional Growth Strategy

Overview
Numeric strategy to sustainably accommodate future population and employment growth

Chapter includes:
• Purpose
• Map & description of regional geographies
• Numeric distribution by region and by county

VISION Appendix II-B
• Guidance for Aligning Growth Targets
Overview of Draft Changes

• Add new policies to address regional growth
• Consolidate existing policies and actions on distribution of growth
• Incorporate preferred growth alternative
• Update description of regional geographies
Proposed Chapter Updates

Proposed revisions:

• New policy (MPP-RGS-A) to implement the regional growth strategy
• New policy (MPP-RGS-B) to set goal for region’s growth in transit station areas
• New policy (MPP-RGS-C) to encourage growth in countywide centers
Proposed Chapter Updates

Proposed revisions:

• Relocate policies and actions related to the UGA, centers, tracking growth moved from Development Patterns
Next steps

- Action to Proceed on Regional Growth Strategy MPPs and actions at June meeting (pending board discussion)

- Development of preferred growth alternative through June

- Continue coordinating with RSC, GMPB, and other stakeholders as Development Patterns chapter moves forward
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