• Comments on VISION 2050
• Direct potential changes to include in draft plan:
  • No Action (Group E) comments
  • Minor (Group C & D) changes
  • Regional Growth Strategy changes
  • Changes identified/proposed by board members (Group F)
• Continue review at next meeting, if needed
• Scheduled to make recommendation to Executive Board at December 5th meeting
Schedule

• **Today** – Direct changes based on submitted amendments

• **Nov 21** – Is additional meeting needed?

• **Dec 5** –
  - Final review of amendments
  - Make recommendation to Executive Board
More than 600 commenters
  • Including 3 tribes, 34 cities/towns, and 74 orgs/agencies
  • 1,600+ individual comments

Common themes & countywide comments:
  • Climate change
  • Regional Growth Strategy / protection of rural areas
  • Equity/environmental justice
  • Aviation impacts & airport planning
  • Housing access & affordability
  • Tribes
  • Military
  • Fiscal challenges / implementation
Response to Public Comments

- **Major** changes requested, seeking board direction
- **Minor** recommended text or policy changes revisions
- Statements, other **No Action** comments

Board-Identified Amendments

- Board member-initiated amendments
- Comment matrix items sponsored by board members

Regional Growth Strategy

- Finalize preferred alternative
Group E Comments

• Comments don’t seek specific changes
• Comments on future work or implementation steps identified in plan
• May express support, concern or opinion about issues
• May related to proposed amendments

• Are there any No Action comments that require further discussion?
  • E-573, E-639, E-744 have already been pulled for board discussion
Minor Changes (Groups C & D)

- Consistent, not a significant change, clarification or improved wording
- Some are not recommended to maintain current wording, brevity, or avoid duplication

- Are there any Minor Changes that board members would like to pull from the list for further discussion?
  - D31-32, D-34, D-108 have already been pulled for discussion

- The board may act to include the list of Minor Changes (Group C and C-Revised) in the draft plan:
  - Move to incorporate the recommended Minor Changes into the GMPB recommended draft of VISION 2050.
Regional Growth Strategy
Draft Preferred Alternative

- Most growth in Metro, Core, and High Capacity Transit Communities
- 65% of region’s population growth and 75% of employment growth in regional growth centers & near HCT
- Lower growth allocations in urban unincorporated and rural compared with long-term trends
- Better jobs-housing balance by shifting employment allocation from King County
Draft Preferred Alternative would call for:

- **Increased** growth in mid- to large-size cities with regional growth centers and high-capacity transit
- **Decreased** growth in Rural areas
- **Decreased** growth in Urban unincorporated areas and smaller cities, especially at the urban edge
- **Increased** jobs-housing balance in the region
Draft Preferred Alternative would call for:

- **Increased** growth in mid- to large-size cities with regional growth centers and high-capacity transit
- **Decreased** growth in Rural areas
- **Decreased** growth in Urban unincorporated areas and smaller cities, especially at the urban edge
- **Increased** jobs-housing balance in the region
Tacoma-Pierce County Proposal

- Inclusion of areas in HTC Communities geography
- Change to growth distributions
- Low end of Rural growth allocation
- Policy and action to support regional conservation funding
Tacoma-Pierce County Proposal

- Anticipated expansion of Pierce Transit Bus Rapid Transit system in development
- Upgrades top performing lines to bus rapid transit
- Expect Pierce Transit to adopt in spring 2020
- Would be added to Regional Transportation Plan in upcoming update
Tacoma-Pierce County Proposal

- Pierce County plans to identify these areas as planned incorporation or annexation areas.
- Change ~17,800 acres from Urban Unincorporated to HCT Communities geography.
Tacoma-Pierce County Proposal

- Change to growth distributions
- Low end of Rural growth allocation

### Pierce County Population Growth 2017-2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Metropolitan Cities</th>
<th>Core Cities</th>
<th>High Capacity Transit Communities</th>
<th>Cities &amp; Towns</th>
<th>Urban Unincorporated</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draft Plan Population</strong></td>
<td>37 - 38% 134-137,000</td>
<td>23 - 28% 85-101,000</td>
<td>10 -14% 35-52,000</td>
<td>7% 25,000</td>
<td>11 -16% 41-60,000</td>
<td>3 - 6% 11-22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Population</strong></td>
<td>38% 137,000</td>
<td>23% 85,000</td>
<td>21% 77,000</td>
<td>7% 25,000</td>
<td>8% 29,000</td>
<td>3% 11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draft Plan Employment</strong></td>
<td>48% 94,000</td>
<td>23% 44,000</td>
<td>13% 26,000</td>
<td>6% 13,000</td>
<td>8% 15,000</td>
<td>2% 3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Employment</strong></td>
<td>48% 94,000</td>
<td>23% 44,000</td>
<td>15% 29,000</td>
<td>6% 13,000</td>
<td>6% 12,000</td>
<td>2% 3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tacoma-Pierce County Proposal

Policy and action to support regional conservation funding:

- New Policy *MPP-RGS-x: Support the establishment of regional funding sources to acquire conservation easements in rural areas.*

- New Action *RGS-Action-xx: Regional Conservation Fund.* PSRC, in collaboration with its members and other partners, will explore and support the establishment of regional funding sources to acquire conservation easements in rural areas.
Councilmember Schuette proposes a reduction in Rural growth from 6% to 3%
Councilmember O’Brien proposes 2% for Rural Snohomish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snohomish County Population Growth 2017-2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft Plan Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Cities  20% 87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Cities  11% 47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Capacity Transit Communities  50% 210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities &amp; Towns  9% 37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Unincorporated  4% 18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural  6% 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuette Proposed Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Cities  20% 87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Cities  12% 51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Capacity Transit Communities  50% 210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities &amp; Towns  11% 45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Unincorporated  4% 18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural  3% 13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Brien Proposed Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Cities  20% 87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Cities  2% 9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Capacity Transit Communities  50% 210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities &amp; Towns  2% 9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Unincorporated  2% 9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural  2% 9,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Does the board have additional guidance on allocations in the Regional Growth Strategy?

Once the board has completed its review of the Regional Growth Strategy allocations, it may act to include them in the draft plan:

*Move to incorporate the recommended Regional Growth Strategy allocations into the GMPB recommended draft of VISION 2050.*
Board-Identified Amendments
Board-Identified Amendments

- **Sponsored by board members** – Major changes in the matrix of public comments selected by board members for consideration
- **Board-initiated** – Additional amendments proposed by board members
- **Refer to table dated November 5/6**
Board-Identified Amendments

- Refer to table dated November 5/6
- Review amendments in order with “seconded” or multiple sponsors first
- Chair will ask if amendment has a “second” (Green highlights amendments that already have multiple sponsors on Nov 6 ver)
- Call for action on individual amendments
  - Move to incorporate the ______ change(s) into the GMPB recommended draft of VISION 2050.
- After review of first round, consider Level 2, and other amendments
GMPB Break

Will return soon to continue review of VISION 2050 amendments
Next Steps

Today’s Meeting
July 11th July-Sept. 2019

- Outstanding policy issues
- Finalized draft growth strategy
- 60-day public comment period

Fall 2019
- Review comments
- Finalize draft plan
- Recommend to Executive Board

Adoption of VISION 2050 in Spring 2020
- Recommend to General Assembly
- Release Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
- Recommend to Executive Board

Review of public comments
Recommendation to Executive Board
Release Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
Recommendation to General Assembly
Adoption of VISION 2050 in Spring 2020

Growth Management Policy Board
Executive Board
PSRC General Assembly

Should the board meet on November 21, 1:00-3:00 pm?
Should staff prepare additional information?
Thank you.

Paul Inghram, AICP
Director of Growth Management
PInghram@psrc.org

Liz Underwood-Bultmann, AICP
Principal Planner
Lunderwood-Bultmann@psrc.org