



Puget Sound Regional Council

T2040 Maintenance & Preservation Working Group

Meeting Summary

March 28, 2017

Attendees: Doug McCormick, Snohomish County Public Works; Thomas Noyes, WSDOT; Patrick McGrath, City of Redmond; Ingrid Gaub, City of Auburn; Jude Willcher, SDOT; Max Phan, Snohomish County Public Works; Chal Martin, City of Bremerton; Amity Trowbridge, WSDOT; Clint Ritter, Pierce County; Joseph Araucto, City of Kent

PSRC Staff: Gary Simonson, Ben Bakkenta, Pavithra Parthasarathi

1. Welcome and Introductions

Co-chair Ingrid Gaub welcomed everyone, followed by introductions around the room.

2. Public Comment

No public comment was provided.

3. Presentation: Identifying Alternative Funding Models and Revenue Sources

Ben Bakkenta of PSRC provided a presentation on alternative funding models and revenue sources for transportation funding (including for maintenance and preservation). He highlighted existing sources and potential new sources, including a road user charge, a carbon tax, and others.

The presentation can be found towards the beginning of this slideshow:

<https://www.psrc.org/sites/default/files/mpwg201703-pres-alternativefunding.pdf>

4. Update: Maintenance and Preservation Expenditure Estimate Process

Gary Simonson of PSRC discussed various updates regarding the maintenance and preservation expenditure estimate process, including:

- The BARS codes that were included in the latest stormwater expenditure update
- The increase of the annual growth rate from 2% to 3.5%, based on various issues identified by the group
- Additional updates regarding the pavement, structures, and ITS expenditure estimates

More detail on these updates can be found beginning on slide 10 of this slideshow:

<https://www.psrc.org/sites/default/files/mpwg201703-pres-alternativefunding.pdf>

5. Review and Discussion: Provide input on Final Draft of Regional Asset Management Proposal

Gary gave the group an opportunity to provide final input on the revised draft of the Regional Asset Management Proposal. No comments were provided.

6. Review and Discussion: Provide input on Draft Nonmotorized Data Gaps and Approach Write-up

Gary then provided the group with an opportunity to give input on a draft of the nonmotorized data gaps and approach write-up, based on the discussion at the previous meeting. Several comments were provided, which Gary said he would incorporate into a more developed draft for the next meeting.

7. Other Business/Announcements

There were no announcements.

8. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 3 PM.