Overview

• Schedule and work plan for growth strategy update
• Starting point: No action alternative
• Data to inform update process
• Next steps
Regional Growth Strategy

- Adopted in 2008 in VISION 2040
- Planned growth pattern 2000 - 2040
- Growth shares to counties, Regional Geographies
Looking Ahead: Planning for 2050

Planning for the Future — extending the regional planning horizon to 2050

- VISION 2040/2050
- 2015/2016 GMA Plan Updates
- 2023/2024 GMA Plan Updates
- 2022 Regional Transportation Plan Update
### VISION 2050: Draft Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoping and Work Plan</th>
<th>Data Collection &amp; Analysis</th>
<th>Plan Development</th>
<th>Plan Approval &amp; Adoption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review VISION 2040 &amp; RGS</td>
<td>Review past performance</td>
<td>RGS options &amp; development</td>
<td>Comment review and revisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-day comment period</td>
<td>New information and issues review</td>
<td>Draft MPP &amp; Action updates</td>
<td>Recommendation to Exec Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final scoping document</td>
<td>RGS analysis</td>
<td>Accept applications for new centers</td>
<td>Executive Board review and action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public engagement plan</td>
<td>Round table workshops</td>
<td>Draft/SEIS 45-day comment period</td>
<td>Final adoption by General Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Jan**: January
- **Feb**: February
- **Mar**: March
- **Apr**: April
- **May**: May
- **Jun**: June
- **Jul**: July
- **Aug**: August
- **Sep**: September
- **Oct**: October
- **Nov**: November
- **Dec**: December

#### 2018

**Jan**: Review VISION 2040 & RGS

**Feb**: Review past performance

**Mar**: Review VISION 2040 & RGS

**Apr**: New information and issues review

**May**: RGS analysis

**Jun**: Round table workshops

**Jul**: Issue updated centers procedures

**Aug**: RGS options & development

**Sep**: Draft MPP & Action updates

**Oct**: Accept applications for new centers

**Nov**: Draft/SEIS 45-day comment period

**Dec**: Public hearing

#### 2019

**Jan**: Comment review and revisions

**Feb**: Recommendation to Exec Board

**Mar**: Executive Board review and action

**Apr**: Final adoption by General Assembly

#### 2020

**Jan**: Comment review and revisions

**Feb**: Recommendation to Exec Board

**Mar**: Executive Board review and action

**Apr**: Final adoption by General Assembly

- **= Exec Board**
- **● = General Assembly**
- **z = GMPB Extended Work Session**
Work Plan

- **Data analysis**
  - Winter-spring 2018

- **Possible multi-board work session**
  - Late spring 2018

- **Scenarios and geographies**
  - Summer-fall 2018

- **Select Alternatives**
  - Winter-spring 2019

- **Draft/SEPA**
  - Release Summer 2019

- **Growth Board recmnd’t’n**
  - Nov 2019

- **• Review background on VISION 2040 Regional Growth Strategy**
- **• Review stakeholder input, relevant data, and analytic tools**
- **• Develop guiding principles and evaluation criteria**
- **• Develop draft no action alternative**
- **• Support board work session planned for June 2018**
• Develop one or more growth scenarios for early evaluation
• Consider modifications to the Regional Geography definitions
Work Plan

- **Data analysis**
  - Winter-spring 2018
- **Possible multi-board work session**
  - Late spring 2018
- **Scenarios and geographies**
  - Summer-Fall 2018
- **Select Alternatives**
  - Winter-Spring 2019
- **Draft/SEPA release**
  - Summer 2019
- **Growth Board recomnd’t’n**
  - Nov 2019

- Evaluate growth scenarios using performance metrics
- Recommend a no action alternative along with one or more modified growth strategy alternatives for environmental review
- Consider potential actions to implement growth strategy to be included in VISION 2050
Path to a Preferred Alternative

Regional 2050 Forecast

VISION 2040
Regional Growth Strategy

2050 No Action alternative
Alternatives (1-3) for draft SEIS

Preferred alternative for final SEIS
Looking Back: VISION 2040 Alternatives

Growth Targets Extended

Smaller Cities

Larger Cities

Metropolitan Cities

Preferred Alternative (Hybrid)
Principles for 2050 “No Action”

• “No action” means the current VISION 2040 plan remains in place out to 2050, a “stay the course” scenario
• RGS represents shares of anticipated population and employment growth
• As an extension of VISION 2040, apply current RGS shares using 2000 base and draft 2050 forecast
• Adjust shares to reflect reclassifications and annexations
• Use countywide targets and local plans as basis for distributing growth to smaller areas for modelling purposes
Regional Forecast (draft)

- Actual:
  - Population: 2.2 million
  - Employment: 2.2 million

- Forecast:
  - Population: 4 million
  - Employment: 3.4 million jobs
  - Total: 5.8 million people
RGS No Action – Regional Geographies

Population shares in VISION 2040 RGS
RGS No Action - Counties

Allocate based on population shares in VISION 2040

- **King**: 42% (1,077,250)
- **Pierce**: 23% (584,750)
- **Snohomish**: 26% (663,610)
- **Kitsap**: 9% (221,699)
Allocate based on population shares in VISION 2040

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UU</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UU</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RGS No Action – Small Areas (for modeling)

Allocate based on share of countywide growth targets

City A
County-1 Larger
52%

City B
County-1 Larger
35%

City C
County-1 Larger
13%

Model local land use based on plans and zoning, similar to Land Use Vision (LUV)
What data are available to inform this process?
Development Trends

Amount and location of population, housing, and employment growth
Land Use Capacity

• Local plans and zoning
  • Data gathered during plan review
  • Future land use and zoning

• Buildable Lands estimates
  • Most recent versions
  • Based on trends and assumptions

• Constraints and opportunities for capacity out to 2050
Centers, station areas, and accessibility

Multimodal accessibility

High-capacity transit

Station area walksheds
Other potential data

Regional Open Space

Market Demand

PSRC Regional Centers
Market Study
Summary Report

Displacement Risk

Urban Services and Utilities
Discussion and Next Steps

• GMPB meeting on March 1

• How can we best work with regional staff through the process of updating the Regional Growth Strategy?
  • Committee as a whole?
  • Smaller group of interested staff?
  • Involve other staff from region?
thank you