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Summary of Survey Participation

King 46%
Kitsap 9%
Pierce 14%
Snohomish 20%
Other 11%

* Representing 26 agencies

35 Responses*
Respondent participation by forum

- **FTA Regional**: 5
- **FTA Earned Share**: 5
- **FHWA Regional**: 21
- **FHWA Countywide**: 29
In how many of PSRC’s Project Selection cycles have you participated?

- 5 or more cycles 20.0%
- 3–4 cycles 37.1%
- 2 cycles 14.2%
- 2018 was my first cycle 28.5%

*Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding*
How regularly do you participate in your county’s advisory group meetings?

- I did not attend in 2018: 8.5%
- I attend infrequently (e.g., less than 4 times per year): 22.8%
- I attend most meetings (e.g., every other meeting): 11.4%
- I regularly attend every meeting: 57.1%

*Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding*
Did you attend one of PSRC's 2018 Project Selection Workshops?

- Yes: 23 (66%)
- No: 12 (34%)
Did you utilize any of PSRC’s online checklists or guidance documents, including the web-based resource map, to assist you in completing the application?

![Pie chart showing responses to the question:]

- **Yes**: 28 (80%)
- **No**: 5 (14%)
- **No Response**: 2 (6%)
Do you think the regional process supports and implements regional policies?

- Yes: 24, 68%
- No: 8, 23%
- No Response: 3, 9%
There were a few new features in 2018, including the requirement to balance awards by year, funding caps, and adjustments to the project readiness criterion.

Were you provided enough information for you to understand how new features were incorporated into the process?

- Yes: 31 (88%)
- No: 2 (6%)
- No Response: 2 (6%)

Do you have any concerns with any of the new features?

- Yes: 4 (12%)
- No: 26 (74%)
- No Response: 5 (14%)
Do you think the process was transparent, fair and equitable?

**Regional FHWA Competition**
(17 responses)
- Yes: 14 (70%)
- No: 3 (15%)
- No Response: 3 (15%)

**Countywide FHWA Competition**
(28 responses)
- Yes: 21 (72%)
- No: 7 (24%)
- No Response: 1 (4%)
Suggested Areas for Discussion

• Review funding cap limit
• Set-asides
• Large requests but small percent of project
• More clarity if possible on certain details
• Concerns about validating application info
Staff Next Steps

- Project Delivery Working Group
- Funding caps and set-asides
- PSRC support for countywide groups
- Continue to improve materials