2020 Project Selection Process
Regional FHWA Project Recommendation Meeting

Regional Project Evaluation Committee – May 21, 2020
Welcome and General Meeting Procedures

• Please keep your cameras off and your microphones muted

• Only designated members may participate in the discussion

• Please use the chat box only if you are having technical difficulties
  
  • We will not be moderating the chat box for other questions and general comments

• Members are asked to turn their camera on but keep their microphone muted when they wish to be called upon to speak
  
  • If this is not possible, members may signal in the chat box that they wish to speak and a PSRC staff member will inform the facilitator
Introductions and Approval of February Meeting Summary

• Roll call of members

• Voice vote of February Meeting Summary
Today’s Process

• Overview of funding amounts
• Review of materials and brief overview of projects
• Background on geographic equity
• Review meeting procedures
• Identify any voluntary withdrawals or reductions in requests
• Identify *Additional Factors*, beyond scores, to be considered in the recommendation of awards
• Recommend funding to projects (by year) and a prioritized contingency list
Overview of Funding Amounts

• Programming FFY 2023-2024 funds
• Need to be balanced by year
• Cap on awards at 50% of available annual amounts

• $14.76m of CMAQ each year – total of $29.52m
• $9.84m of STP each year – total of $19.68m
Review of Materials and Projects

- As provided in agenda packet and follow-up information to members:
  - Scores by rank, by funding source
  - Full criteria scores, by category
  - Project summary information sheet
Geographic Equity

- Evaluation of geographic equity by county conducted after each process
- No target amount is applied during an individual project selection process
  - Competitive processes based on project merits as they relate to the adopted criteria

**Geographic Distribution of PSRC FHWA Funds, 1992-2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population %</th>
<th>Total Awards</th>
<th>% of total</th>
<th>% - w/o Multicounty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>52.96%</td>
<td>$1,094,568,830</td>
<td>50.78%</td>
<td>55.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitsap</td>
<td>6.43%</td>
<td>$148,662,687</td>
<td>6.90%</td>
<td>7.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>21.13%</td>
<td>$412,156,066</td>
<td>19.12%</td>
<td>20.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>19.48%</td>
<td>$332,733,861</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
<td>16.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicounty</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$167,406,976</td>
<td>7.77%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>$2,155,528,420</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meeting Procedures

• Due to remote operations:
  • Members are asked to use their cameras to signal when they wish to speak
  • Alternates and all other interested parties are in listen only mode
  • No packages are to be considered – each project will be evaluated individually within the parameters of the available funding
  • Actions will be by voice vote unless a roll call vote becomes necessary
  • Please allow the discussion to be thorough and complete prior to any motions or amendments
Project Revisions

• 2020 Supplemental Funding Action
  • *Affects one regional project*

• Other project revisions:
  • *Does any sponsor wish to withdraw or reduce their funding request?*
Additional Factors

- The scores are one important tool in the decision-making process, but not the only tool.
- Past cycles have identified the following additional factors to be used in the final recommendation process:
  - Geographic equity
  - Agency equity
  - Modal equity
  - Project completion / implementation
  - Balance among phases
  - Scalability
  - Unique circumstances
Final Reminders

• Recommendations must fully fund a phase
  
  • *Reasonable and feasible financial plan by the time of obligation*

• If funding reductions are proposed:
  
  • sponsors must commit to fully funding the phase with local or other funds, with a follow-up confirmation of fund availability; *or*
  
  • the committee and sponsor agree to a reduced scope of work for funding, with the balance of the scope applied to the contingency list

• *All applicants have been asked to be present to respond to any proposed funding reductions*