Applications Received

• **Total 13 applications** received by October 30
  • Fewer ABC rankings available (2A’s, 3B’s, 5C’s) than applications received

• **By County***:
  • 8 Snohomish
  • 2 Pierce
  • 2 King
  • 1 Multi-county

• **By Project Type**:
  • 6 Operating
  • 4 Mobility management
  • 3 Capital

• **2-yr vs. 4-yr:**
  • 5 applied for 2-yr ($3,238,382)
  • 8 applied for 4-yr ($2,772,762)
  • Total requested: $6,011,144

• **Sustaining vs. New/Expansion:**
  • 10 Sustaining
  • 2 Expansion
  • 1 New

* Kitsap County competes through Peninsula RTPO process
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Next Steps

- Applications will be uploaded to PSRC’s website end of November
- PSRC will review applications and provide a summary of review on January 13, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 20, 2021</td>
<td>PSRC Special Needs Transportation Committee 2021-2023 Consolidated Grant Regional Priority Ranking Recommendation (Virtual Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 27, 2021</td>
<td>PSRC Transportation Operators Committee Regional Priority Ranking Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 11, 2021</td>
<td>PSRC Transportation Policy Board Regional Priority Ranking Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 25, 2021</td>
<td>PSRC Executive Board Decision on Regional Priority Rankings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2021</td>
<td>WSDOT Funded Project List Announced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 2021</td>
<td>Project Begins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Priority Ranking Factors

1. Project Type (New vs. Existing)
   • Evaluation Question: Is the application for preservation or to support ongoing operations of an existing program?

2. Support for Coordinated Plan
   • Evaluation Question: Does the application support PSRC’s Coordinated Plan by addressing at least one of the “Emerging Needs and Gaps” and at least one “High Prioritized Strategy”?

3. Uniqueness of Service or Program
   • Evaluation Question: Does the application provide information demonstrating there are no alternate public transportation options for the populations served by the program within the same geographic area?
4. Performance Measures and Targets
   • Evaluation Question: Does the application commit to tracking required, project-specific performance measures and set grant-cycle-related targets for those performance measures?

5. Financial Sustainability
   • Evaluation Question: Does the application demonstrate that it is providing more than the minimum required match from local sources in its project budget?
## Factor 4. Performance Measures & Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Types</th>
<th>Required Performance Measures</th>
<th>Suggested Performance Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Operating Projects</td>
<td>• Passenger trips</td>
<td>• Revenue vehicle hours&lt;br&gt;• Revenue vehicle miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Projects</td>
<td><strong>Vehicle Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) in years.</strong> (ULB is defined as the expected lifecycle of a capital asset for a particular Transit Provider’s operating environment, or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular Transit Provider’s operating environment). For more information, see: <a href="https://www.transit.dot.gov/TAM">https://www.transit.dot.gov/TAM</a>.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Projects</td>
<td>Please see Federal Transit Administration guidance (<a href="https://www.transit.dot.gov/TAM">https://www.transit.dot.gov/TAM</a>) to develop relevant performance measures and targets</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobility Management Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Referral &amp; Assistance Projects</td>
<td>• Number of clients receiving trip planning services&lt;br&gt;• Number of calls fielded and/or website clicks or impressions&lt;br&gt;• Number of trips planned and/or referrals made&lt;br&gt;<strong>NOTE:</strong> In the case of performance measures with “and/or” options, the applicant should be specific as to which measure(s) they commit to tracking.</td>
<td>• Number of trips completed&lt;br&gt;• Number of unduplicated clients receiving trip planning services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Training, Education, &amp; Orientation Projects</td>
<td>• Number of trainings provided to clients&lt;br&gt;• Number of unduplicated clients trained&lt;br&gt;• Number of unduplicated organizational staff trained</td>
<td>• Number of clients trained&lt;br&gt;• Number of trainings provided to organizational staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Coalition Projects</td>
<td>• Number of mobility coalition meetings&lt;br&gt;• Number of mobility coalition meeting participants, excluding your own agency’s staff&lt;br&gt;• Number of unique agencies represented at the meetings</td>
<td>• Percentage of work program goals achieved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Factors for Consideration

During the last Consolidated Grant competition, the SNTC used the following Additional Factors for Consideration:

- Geographical distribution of regional priority rankings
- Project types
- Agency equity
- Compelling cases for agencies with limited resources
- Strategic application of federal/state funds
- Coordination

Discussion:
- Should the SNTC continue using these additional factors for consideration?
- How should projects awarded funds for **4-years** in 2018 be accounted for?
- Should the SNTC use **any other** additional factors for consideration? If so, this is the last meeting to add any additional factors for consideration that require data collection.