VISION 2050 Work Program

- **2017**: Early work
- **2018**: Scoping, research, planning
- **2019**: SEPA review, draft plan
- **2020**: Adoption
Early Work

- Overview of VISION 2040
- Changes since 2008
- Background trends
- Project principles
- 2050 job and population growth forecasts
- Regional Growth Strategy performance
- Scoping comment period & scoping report
- Public opinion survey
VISION 2050 Scoping Listening Sessions

King County - Redmond
King County - Seattle
Kitsap County - Bremerton
Pierce County - Fife
Snohomish County – Lynnwood
Scoping Comment Period

Individuals (35)
- Members of the public

Multiple Jurisdictions/Joint Letters
- King County Growth Management Planning Council
- Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council
- Ports of Bremerton, Everett, Seattle, Tacoma and NW Seaport Alliance
- Snohomish County Tomorrow

Jurisdictions (23)
- Bonney Lake
- Bremerton
- Carnation
- Des Moines
- Duvall
- Everett
- Federal Way
- Gig Harbor
- Issaquah
- Kent
- Kitsap County
- Lake Stevens
- Lakewood
- Monroe
- North Bend
- Pierce County
- Port Orchard
- Seattle
- Shoreline
- Snohomish County
- Snohomish (City)
- Snoqualmie
- Steilacoom

Other Organizations + Agencies (23)
- 350 Seattle
- Bethel School District
- Cascade Bicycle Club, Forterra, Futurewise, One America, Puget Sound Sage, Transportation Choices Coalition, Sierra Club
- Community Transit
- EPA Region 10
- Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council
- Master Builders Association – King and Snohomish, Pierce Counties
- Puget Sound School Coalition (2)
- Seattle Freight Advisory Board
- Seattle King County REALTORS
- Sierra Club
- Snohomish Health District
- Sound Transit
- Tacoma Pierce County Health Department
- Washington Environmental Council
- Whale & Dolphin Conservation
- WSDOT
Scoping Comment Period

• Letters from 89 commenters | Comments from 5 Listening Sessions
• 1,333 delineated comments
# Scoping Comment Period

## Written Comments by Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Growth Strategy</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPA Environmental Review</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Process</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation, Actions, Performance...</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use &amp; Development Patterns</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment, Open Space, Sustainability</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change &amp; Resiliency</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding &amp; Finance</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers &amp; TOD</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity &amp; Social Justice</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Issues

• **Common themes:**
  - Regional growth strategy, including development patterns, TOD & jobs/housing balance
  - Housing affordability
  - Social equity and displacement
  - Climate change and resilience

• **Additional interest in:**
  - A cohesive regional vision
  - Prosperity across region
  - Leveraging regional investments
  - Transportation technology
  - Financial strategies
  - Healthy communities
Public Opinion Survey

- Preliminary results today
- Measured attitudes and opinions about growth and related issues for VISION 2050
- Scientifically-valid responses that reflect the diversity of region
The region’s natural environment, climate and outdoor recreation are top assets

What do you like best about living in the central Puget Sound region?

- **Natural Environment**: 22%
- **Climate/Weather**: 12%
- **Outdoor Recreation**: 11%
- **Jobs/Economic Opportunity**: 6%
- **Shopping/Restaurants**: 6%
- **Diversity**: 6%
- **The People**: 5%
The region’s cost of living, homelessness and transportation are top dislikes

What do you **like least** about living in the central Puget Sound region?

- **Cost of Living**: 29%
- **Homelessness**: 12%
- **Transit/Transportation**: 10%
- **Political Environment**: 9%
- **Climate/Weather**: 8%
- **Safety/Crime**: 6%
- **Growth/Development**: 5%
Nearly 6 in 10 say it’s difficult or very difficult to access affordable housing in the region.

For you personally, how easy or difficult is it for you to access the following in the central Puget Sound region?

- Affordable Housing: 6% Does Not Apply, 32% Very Difficult, 22% Difficult, 59% Difficult/Very (NET)
- Reliable Transportation Choices: 6% Does Not Apply, 7% Very Difficult, 18% Difficult, 25% Difficult/Very (NET)
- Good Jobs/Employment Opportunities: 12% Does Not Apply, 6% Very Difficult, 13% Difficult, 19% Difficult/Very (NET)
Strong majority (67%) say working together on a regional growth strategy is important or very important

How important is it to you that governments work together and in consultation with businesses and community organizations to develop and carry out an overall, regional growth strategy for the central Puget Sound region?

Net Important  67%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Important</th>
<th>Slightly Important</th>
<th>Moderately Important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Plan

Spring-Summer 2018
Research, analysis & board work sessions
Explore Regional Growth Strategy

Fall 2018-Spring 2019
Review goals, policies & actions
Refine Regional Growth Strategy
Issue Draft EIS

Summer-Fall 2019
Comment period on Draft Plan
Working from Draft to Final Plan
November GMPB Action

Winter-Spring 2020
Executive Board review, final edits
General Assembly Action
Upcoming Work

• June 7 - GMPB extended meeting on Regional Growth Strategy
• July 5 – GMPB extended meeting on housing
• TPB upcoming sessions related to transportation
Thank you